

**Voye, David**

---

**From:** Andrew Schepler  
**Sent:** Saturday, November 22, 2025 5:54 PM  
**To:** # Board of Elections  
**Subject:** Board of Elections - Request to Submit Public Comments at Upcoming Meeting

Warning! This email was sent from an external source. Please be sure you recognize the sender and use caution when clicking on links and/or opening attachments.

To whom it may concern:

I would like to address the Board at the meeting Nov. 24, 2025, in support of a hand recount of the 2024 Presidential votes. Or if I'm not able to attend after all, please enter the below as a written comment.

As the Election Truth Alliance ([electiontruthalliance.org](https://electiontruthalliance.org)) explains, a number of professional and hobbyist statisticians have noticed unnatural patterns in the vote data in Pennsylvania from November 2024, for the President of the United States. The statistical anomalies are most noticeable in Allegheny County, Erie County, and Philadelphia County.

At the simplest level, precincts with higher voter turnout have an increasing proportion of votes reported for Republican candidate Donald Trump. In a fairly conducted and accurate election, there's normally no significant relation between voter turnout and proportional voting pattern at the precinct level, but that sort of pattern is sometimes seen when there has been fraudulent manipulation of the votes. The statistical anomaly is seen in votes cast on election day, but not in the data for mail-in ballots or early voting, suggesting the electronic machines used to scan ballots and count votes might have been compromised. Using the same established methods used to evaluate elections around the world, elections forensics expert Dr. Walter Mebane Jr. has done an analysis of votes for President in the state of Pennsylvania. One conservative version of the analysis estimates around 112,000 votes in Pennsylvania are attributed to a malicious change of voters' intentions.

Similar data anomalies have been noticed in North Carolina, Minnesota, and Clark County, Nevada, suggesting a wider issue. Pennsylvania and Allegheny County in particular are among the cases with the most concerning data, and a natural place to start looking for more solid evidence of any discrepancy.

Fairness, transparency, and accuracy in our elections is supremely important to American democracy, and I'm proud to say our country has done very well at it for a long time. If there is an issue here, we need to know about it and prevent the same thing from happening again. If not, it will be valuable to know the system did work, to maintain confidence in our election processes and integrity.

Therefore, as an Allegheny County voter and concerned US citizen, I urge the Board to direct a recount of the county's 2024 votes cast for President on election day, as soon as practical. If large discrepancies are found, here or elsewhere in the US, I would further suggest in future elections, this County and all of the US should only entrust counting votes to humans, unless and until vulnerabilities in electronic voting technology and its verification processes are thoroughly investigated and resolved, replaced, augmented, or otherwise corrected.

The data doesn't make clear whether the apparent manipulation would have changed the state's winner, and there's no changing what the Electoral College already decided. There has been speculation about how a technical attack on voting systems might have been accomplished, and to me it sounds plausible but not conclusive. The whole topic does at first glance seem like a tin-hat conspiracy, especially after similar but vague and unsupported claims were made about the 2020 Presidential election. Still, my main point is that the statistical data is difficult to explain, and a hand recount would give the first absolutely solid evidence about these concerns.

(I am not affiliated with the Election Truth Alliance. I do agree with their findings and recommendations.)

Thank you,

Andrew Schepler