

A. Swissvale Toxic Metals Study Introduction

This report is the final data report for the Swissvale Toxic Metals Study. This version contains data from June 14th, 2020 through June 15th, 2021.

A special study was initiated by the Allegheny County Health Department (Department) on June 14, 2020 in Swissvale, PA as a follow-up to a study conducted by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) in 2017 to evaluate levels of metal hazardous air pollutants (HAPs) at Kopp Glass and other art glass manufacturers in the county (<https://www.alleghenycounty.us/Health-Department/Programs/Air-Quality/Swissvale-Air-Toxics-Metals-Study.aspx>). This study collected air samples for one year. After one year of sampling, health risk assessments were able to be performed to determine relative risk levels to the community from the exposure to the measured metals. Presented in this document are a summary of data collected, preliminary risk estimates derived from these data, and a comparison of data between this study (2020 Swissvale) and the 2017 study (2017 Kopp).

B. Discussion of Air Monitoring Methods

Air sampling was conducted on residential property using an EPA reference method PM₁₀ sampler. This sampler is a high volume, filter-based method that is calibrated to draw ambient air at a flow rate of 40 cubic feet per minute. The sampler employs a size-selective inlet that allows only particles of an aerodynamic size of 10 microns or less (PM₁₀) to pass to the collection filter. Each sampling event is 24 hours in duration (midnight to midnight). Sampling during this study was conducted every six days following the EPA's 6-day schedule, with additional randomized sampling events scheduled at the Department's discretion. A total of 70 samples were collected.

After each sampling event, the sampling media (8x10" quartz fiber filter) is collected by a field technician and sent to a 3rd party certified laboratory for metals analysis via EPA Compendium Method IO-3.5. The seven metals species of interest in this study are antimony, arsenic, cadmium, chromium (total), lead, manganese and nickel. Measured concentrations are presented in nanograms per 1 cubic meter of air (ng/m³), which is a standard method of presenting metals concentrations in ambient air.

C. Approach to Data

All monitoring data generated from the 2020 Swissvale study are being used to calculate concentration averages, derive preliminary risk estimates, and compare against data from the 2017 Kopp study. Concentrations reported as below the method detection limit (MDL) from the lab are expressed as half of the MDL, which is considered an acceptable approach by the EPA (<https://www.epa.gov/risk/regional-guidance-handling-chemical-concentration-data-near-detection-limit-risk-assessments>). This occurred in 49%, 24% and 1% of the samples so far for nickel, cadmium and lead, respectively.

The Department uses these data to calculate averages, and the 95th percentile upper confidence level (95UCL) of the mean of the air concentration data for each metal HAP. 95UCL is a calculated value that equals or exceeds an exposure unit's actual arithmetic mean of the site concentrations 95% of the time. The 95UCL of the mean typically is used as a conservative estimate of the true average concentration and, therefore, is considered an appropriate value to use for preliminary risk assessments.

D. Approach to Chronic Inhalation Assessment

Chronic dose-response values come from a variety of sources and are used for screening risk assessments. ACHD references the National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for the criteria pollutants. For the metals measured during this study, only lead is a criteria pollutant. In most cases, for substances that are not included under the NAAQS, ACHD references the same chronic dose-response assessment values endorsed by the EPA. These values can be found at: <https://www.epa.gov/fera/dose-response-assessment-assessing-health-risks-associated-exposure-hazardous-air-pollutants>.

Table 1 displays cancer-based and noncancer-based risk levels associated with the metal HAP species measured in this study. The cancer-based comparison level represents an increased risk of 1-in-1 million from exposure to a metal HAP over a lifetime and is calculated from the inhalation unit risk estimate (URE) for each metal HAP. The noncancer-based comparison level is the chronic noncancer dose-response value and is used to derive individual hazard quotients (HQs) for each metal HAP. Target-organ-specific hazard indices (TOSHIs) are calculated by summing the chronic HQs for HAPs that affect the same target organ or organ system. The comparison levels conservatively presume continuous exposure over a lifetime.

Table 1: Cancer-Based and Chronic Noncancer-Based Comparison Levels for Metal HAPs

Cas No.	Metal HAP	Cancer-Based Comparison Level (ng/m ³) ^a	Source of URE ^b	Noncancer-Based Comparison Level (ng/m ³)	Source of Noncancer Risk
7440-36-0	Antimony		--	200 ^c	EPA IRIS (RfC)
7440-38-2	Arsenic	0.23	EPA	15	CalEPA (REL)
7440-43-9	Cadmium	0.56	EPA	10	ATSDR (MRL)
7440-47-3	Chromium (total)		--	--	EPA IRIS (RfC)
7439-92-1	Lead		--	150	EPA (NAAQS)
7439-96-5	Manganese		--	300	ATSDR (MRL)
7440-02-0	Nickel	2.1 ^d	EPA	90	ATSDR (MRL)

Note: CAS = Chemical Abstracts Service, HAP = hazardous air pollutant, URE = unit risk estimate, EPA = U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, RfC = reference concentration, CalEPA = California Environmental Protection Agency, REL = reference exposure level, ATSDR = U.S. Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry, MRL = minimal risk level, NAAQS = National Ambient Air Quality Standards, IRIS = Integrated Risk Information System.

a Cancer-based comparison levels reflect an increased risk level of 1-in-1 million

b. For each metal, the source of the inhalation URE is the EPA IRIS Program

(https://cfpub.epa.gov/ncea/iris_drafts/atoz.cfm?list_type=alpha)

c The comparison level for antimony is the RfC for antimony trioxide

d The comparison level for nickel is based on the inhalation URE for nickel subsulfide

E: Data Summary and Preliminary Risk Estimates from 2020 Study

To investigate whether the metal HAP levels measured are elevated compared to health risk-based criteria for chronic exposure, the Department compared the mean concentrations and the 95UCL of the mean of the monitored metal HAP concentrations to the most stringent of the long-term health risk-related comparison levels. Summaries of the mean ambient concentrations, the 95UCL of the mean, and the maximum concentrations are presented in Table 2. Preliminary risk estimates presented in Table 3 and Table 4 are calculated by dividing the concentration data in Table 2 by the cancer-based and non-cancer risk comparison levels from Table 1. Individual concentrations for each 24-hour sampling event are

presented in [Appendix A](#) at the end of this report. Meteorology data from the nearest surface meteorology station in the form of a wind rose for the sampling period is provided in Appendix B.

Table 2: Data Summary

	Antimony (ng/m ³)	Arsenic (ng/m ³)	Cadmium (ng/m ³)	Chromium (ng/m ³)	Lead (ng/m ³)	Manganese (ng/m ³)	Nickel (ng/m ³)
Mean (Average) Concentration	2.10	2.00	1.47	4.20	7.91	28.93	1.28
95% Upper Confidence Level of the Mean	2.49	2.46	2.17	4.90	10.05	39.77	1.52
Maximum Daily Concentration	8.37	8.61	15.90	16.50	47.50	270.00	5.91

Table 3: Chronic Inhalation Cancer Risk

Cas No.	Metal HAP	Mean Cancer Risk Estimate (per 10 ⁶) ^a	95UCL of the Mean Cancer Risk Estimate (per 10 ⁶) ^a
7440-36-0	Antimony	-	
7440-38-2	Arsenic	8.7	10.7
7440-43-9	Cadmium	2.6	3.9
7440-47-3	Chromium (total)	-	
7439-92-1	Lead	-	
7439-96-5	Manganese	-	
7440-02-0	Nickel	0.6	0.7
Total Cancer Risk Estimate^b		12-in-1 million	15-in-1 million

Note: CAS = Chemical Abstracts Service, HAP = hazardous air pollutant, 95UCL = 95th % upper confidence level

a Cancer risks are calculated by dividing concentrations (Table 2) by the 1-in-1 million cancer-based comparison levels (Table 1)

b Total cancer risk estimate is the sum of the individual metal HAP cancer risks

Table 4: Chronic Inhalation Non-Cancer Risk

Cas No.	Metal HAP	Mean Hazard Quotient ^a	95UCL of the Mean Hazard Quotient ^a
7440-36-0	Antimony	0.01	0.01
7440-38-2	Arsenic	0.13	0.16
7440-43-9	Cadmium	0.15	0.22
7440-47-3	Chromium (total)	-	-
7439-92-1	Lead	0.05	0.07
7439-96-5	Manganese	0.10	0.13
7440-02-0	Nickel	0.01	0.02
Maximum TOSHI^b		0.28	0.36

Note: CAS = Chemical Abstracts Service, HAP = hazardous air pollutant, 95UCL = 95th % upper confidence level, TOSHI = target organ-specific hazard index

a Hazard quotients are calculated by dividing concentrations (Table 2) by the non-cancer risk comparison levels (Table 1)

b Maximum TOSHI is the sum of the individual hazard quotients for each target organ or organ system

F: Comparison of 2020 and 2017 Studies

The 2020 Swissvale study is a follow-up to the 2017 Kopp study designed by the EPA. The 2017 Kopp study used two low-volume PM₁₀ samplers located on the property of Kopp Glass. The 2020 Swissvale study utilizes one high-volume PM₁₀ sampler on residential property approximately 15 meters from the Kopp Glass property and approximately 50 meters from where one of the samplers (KopA) was located in the 2017 Kopp study. Cancer risk estimates from the 2017 Kopp data were derived using the 95UCL of the mean, which the Department has provided for the 2020 Swissvale data as well.

Figure 1 shows average PM₁₀ metals concentrations from the sampler in the current study (2020 Swissvale), and from the two samplers (KopA and KopB used the in 2017 Kopp study. Figure 2 shows the 95UCL of the mean concentrations from both studies. Figure 3 shows maximum daily concentrations from both studies.

In Figure 4 the preliminary cancer risk is compared between studies using the 95UCL of the mean. A cancer risk of 40-in-1 million means that, for every 1 million people exposed at the levels measured at the monitor, 40 of those people might develop cancer over their lifetime. The calculated risks are in excess of a person's chance of developing cancer for reasons other than the chemical exposures being evaluated. In general, EPA considers excess cancer risks below about 1-in-1 million negligible and excess cancer risks ranging from 1-in-1 million to 100-in-1 million acceptable.

In Figure 5 the preliminary TOSHI is compared between studies using the 95UCL of the mean. Cadmium was the driver of the maximum TOSHI in the 2017 study with the kidney as the target organ. The maximum TOSHI for the 2020 Swissvale study was comprised of metals (arsenic, lead and manganese) that affect the nervous system. A TOSHI equal to or less than 1 indicates that non-cancer health effects are not likely to occur.

Figure 1: Average PM₁₀ Metals Concentrations From 2020 and 2017 Studies




Figure 2: 95% Upper Confidence Level of the Mean Concentrations from 2017 and 2020 Studies




Figure 3: Maximum Daily Concentrations From 2020 and 2017 Studies




Figure 4: Cancer Risk From 2020 and 2017 Studies





Figure 5: Non-Cancer Risk From 2020 and 2017 Studies

Appendix A: Individual Sampling Results


Sample Date	Antimony (ng/m ³)	Arsenic (ng/m ³)	Cadmium (ng/m ³)	Chromium (ng/m ³)	Lead (ng/m ³)	Manganese (ng/m ³)	Nickel (ng/m ³)
6/14/2020	1.79	1.72	2.98	1.63	3.63	4.92	0.56
6/20/2020	3.14	6.02	15.16	5.40	13.10	43.66	2.35
6/23/2020	1.95	1.57	1.59	4.11	3.93	16.01	1.26
6/26/2020	1.02	1.47	0.31	2.37	3.75	13.65	0.53
7/02/2020	1.26	1.28	0.11	2.32	2.64	9.07	0.55
7/08/2020	1.84	0.53	0.53	4.56	7.42	46.49	1.53
7/14/2020	2.27	1.24	0.29	3.03	6.06	21.70	1.15
7/20/2020	0.65	0.66	0.22	1.95	2.12	7.50	1.21
7/23/2020	0.86	1.41	0.06	2.74	2.16	4.91	0.56
7/26/2020	1.91	2.76	0.46	6.58	11.00	21.60	5.66
8/01/2020	1.43	1.49	2.88	1.90	4.35	9.71	0.55
8/04/2020	1.03	0.81	0.33	2.49	2.81	7.06	0.55
8/07/2020	1.45	0.92	0.17	2.88	3.14	9.85	1.24
8/13/2020	1.00	0.79	0.26	2.08	2.16	8.12	0.56
8/19/2020	0.61	0.45	0.06	1.68	1.34	4.02	0.55
8/25/2020	2.08	0.97	0.56	2.19	3.80	9.75	0.55
8/31/2020	4.51	1.03	0.81	2.67	5.00	20.60	0.56
9/06/2020	3.34	3.74	5.25	2.12	8.09	16.60	0.55
9/12/2020	2.75	1.54	1.91	12.00	18.40	11.60	0.56
9/15/2020	2.37	1.92	0.73	3.44	4.26	22.40	0.55
9/18/2020	1.05	0.94	0.06	1.68	2.89	6.53	0.55
9/24/2020	4.46	4.22	1.23	6.19	21.90	88.00	1.57
9/30/2020	1.13	1.07	0.39	2.34	2.97	7.58	0.54
10/06/2020	2.72	5.72	1.81	3.63	16.10	60.30	1.56
10/12/2020	0.55	0.95	0.06	1.60	1.19	2.90	2.38
10/18/2020	4.34	3.19	3.01	4.77	23.50	103.00	2.11
10/24/2020	0.92	0.90	0.06	1.50	1.80	2.28	1.94
10/27/2020	1.51	2.09	0.18	2.21	5.09	6.83	2.23
10/30/2020	0.77	0.82	0.06	1.48	2.22	2.01	5.91
11/05/2020	3.42	2.77	1.49	15.40	23.80	174.00	2.14

Sample Date	Antimony (ng/m ³)	Arsenic (ng/m ³)	Cadmium (ng/m ³)	Chromium (ng/m ³)	Lead (ng/m ³)	Manganese (ng/m ³)	Nickel (ng/m ³)
11/11/2020	3.36	1.22	1.47	7.57	11.10	36.60	1.31
11/17/2020	0.50	0.34	0.06	5.27	1.87	6.45	0.56
11/23/2020	0.43	0.34	0.14	4.47	2.30	1.82	0.55
11/29/2020	3.61	4.66	5.18	8.51	31.00	89.70	0.55
12/05/2020	1.21	0.78	0.17	1.81	2.26	3.27	1.52
12/08/2020	0.53	0.54	0.06	2.10	1.30	4.42	3.46
12/11/2020	6.02	7.51	2.11	16.50	47.50	270.00	1.80
12/17/2020	1.12	1.38	0.18	4.09	4.20	8.79	1.68
12/23/2020	2.72	2.61	0.85	5.82	26.30	114.00	1.31
12/29/2020	0.67	0.83	0.06	2.48	2.64	6.62	1.22
1/04/2021	1.18	0.59	0.06	1.97	1.66	2.52	0.55
1/10/2021	2.89	1.90	0.58	3.42	5.51	15.40	0.55
1/16/2021	0.94	1.02	0.20	2.11	3.92	9.66	0.56
1/19/2021	1.05	0.38	0.14	2.20	0.56	4.24	0.56
1/22/2021	0.58	0.37	0.06	2.06	3.95	4.43	0.55
1/28/2021	0.67	0.42	0.12	1.78	1.31	3.46	0.57
1/04/2021	1.18	0.59	0.06	1.97	1.66	2.52	0.55
1/10/2021	2.89	1.90	0.58	3.42	5.51	15.40	0.55
1/16/2021	0.94	1.02	0.20	2.11	3.92	9.66	0.56
1/19/2021	1.05	0.38	0.14	2.20	0.56	4.24	0.56
1/22/2021	0.58	0.37	0.06	2.06	3.95	4.43	0.55
1/28/2021	0.67	0.42	0.12	1.78	1.31	3.46	0.57
2/03/2021	0.45	0.46	0.05	1.56	1.27	2.69	1.67
2/09/2021	1.23	1.20	0.28	2.85	2.83	6.58	1.59
2/15/2021	0.69	0.63	0.16	1.59	1.77	2.62	0.56
2/21/2021	2.25	2.52	7.65	4.60	21.40	61.10	0.57
2/27/2021	3.31	1.87	0.70	3.34	6.03	21.30	0.56
3/02/2021	1.76	1.02	0.14	4.99	3.07	21.20	2.06
3/05/2021	0.62	0.61	0.06	1.93	1.94	5.77	1.52
3/11/2021	4.14	4.53	9.58	6.57	21.00	58.40	1.91
3/17/2021	7.33	7.04	5.55	4.71	21.60	38.20	2.09
3/23/2021	8.37	8.61	15.90	9.96	28.90	166.0	1.62

Sample Date	Antimony (ng/m ³)	Arsenic (ng/m ³)	Cadmium (ng/m ³)	Chromium (ng/m ³)	Lead (ng/m ³)	Manganese (ng/m ³)	Nickel (ng/m ³)
3/29/2021	1.41	1.06	0.06	4.86	2.60	22.40	1.48
4/04/2021	1.52	1.39	0.21	2.80	5.28	14.30	0.56
4/10/2021	3.18	1.83	1.25	4.29	5.58	38.60	0.58
4/16/2021	0.56	0.73	0.06	3.22	5.63	3.63	0.55
4/22/2021	0.44	0.68	0.06	2.80	1.38	5.36	0.55
4/28/2021	2.64	3.72	0.98	7.38	12.00	87.10	1.91
5/04/2021	2.84	1.80	0.27	6.57	2.80	5.70	1.56
5/10/2021	2.29	0.65	0.06	4.77	1.54	3.88	1.16
5/16/2021	4.56	8.29	1.52	7.95	14.70	29.90	1.88
5/22/2021	2.56	5.28	0.64	8.21	18.60	48.30	2.08
5/28/2021	1.27	2.21	0.53	4.95	4.00	16.10	0.55
6/03/2021	5.98	1.85	1.69	3.67	2.98	6.18	0.55
6/09/2021	1.41	1.37	0.56	6.08	5.61	10.50	1.74
6/15/2021	0.72	0.90	0.23	3.04	3.52	5.46	0.56

**Samples less than the Method Detection Limit are expressed as ½ MDL, color-coded red and are calculated based on the laboratory's minimum reporting limit (ng/filter) and air volume (cubic meters) submitted for each sample.*


Appendix B: Surface Meteorology for Sampling Period



Period: 6/14/2020-6/15/2021

Calm wind speeds are defined as < 1.12 mph and occurred at a frequency of 10.74% over the sampling period.

Appendix C: Dispersion Modeling Results and Sampler Locations



Zoomed in hot spot of AERMOD modeling domain. Isopleths are 2 to 40 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ by 2 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ increments.

A = 2017 Kopp A

B = 2017 Kopp B

C = 2020 Swissvale (sampler used in 2020-2021 study)