



Allegheny County Employees' Retirement System

Executive Summary

January 1, 2020

Cowden Associates, Inc.

Four Gateway Center 444 Liberty Avenue, Suite 605 Pittsburgh, PA 15222

412.394.9330 888.889.9432 www.cowdenassociates.com

INTEGRITY EXPERTISE RESULTS EMPLOYEES COMMUNITY

Table of Contents

| | PAGE |
|---|------|
| Introduction | 2 |
| Changes in Assumptions and Methods..... | 3 |
| Review of Funded Status Ratios..... | 4 |
| Assets and Plan Contributions | 5 |
| Participant Data | 7 |
| History of Funded Ratios..... | 9 |
| History of Normal Cost..... | 12 |
| Actuarial Certification | 13 |

Introduction

This Executive Summary and History contains information to enable the Retirement Board to assess the financial well-being of the Pension Fund. Five factors govern the Fund's financial status:

- 1) Characteristics of the group of participants receiving benefits from the Fund, or expected to receive benefits in the future
 - Age
 - Sex
 - Benefit Amount
 - Form of Payment
- 2) Amount of Fund assets
- 3) Plan provisions defining the amount and form of benefits, and the conditions under which they are paid
- 4) Assumptions about future events
 - Investment Return
 - Mortality
 - Disability
 - Termination
 - Retirement Ages
 - Salary
- 5) Future changes in the work force or in contribution levels

An analysis of the Fund's financial status can be performed on either a closed group or an open group. A closed group analysis does not take account of future new members. As such, it gives a good indication of whether the fund can sustain itself in the absence of new members. Unless otherwise indicated, all information is provided on a closed group basis.

An open group analysis does take account of future new members. Assumptions are made to reflect an increasing, stable or declining population. Additional assumptions govern the age distribution of the new members. This analysis is considerably more complicated and outside the scope of a normal valuation, but it may be the most realistic indicator of the ongoing financial health of the Fund.

Changes in Assumptions and Methods

Please refer to the January 1, 2020 Actuarial Report for a complete description of actuarial assumptions, methods, and models used in developing these results.

Plan Design Changes

None.

Assumption Changes

None.

Method Changes

None.

Review of Funded Status Ratios

Review of Funded Status

There are a number of ratios that are useful in evaluating the funded status of the plan. These include the following:

- A) Accrued Benefit Funded Status: ratio of the present value of benefits earned to date (i.e., no future salary or service) compared to the market value of assets. (See page 10 of the report.)


| | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 |
|--------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Funded Ratio | 64.1% | 63.4% | 66.6% | 59.3% | 62.6% |

- B) Actuarial Accrued Liability Funded Status: ratio of the present value of benefits earned to date, **including** expected future salary increases, compared to the actuarial value of assets.

| | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 |
|--------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Funded Ratio | 55.4% | 55.2% | 58.1% | 51.8% | 55.2% |


- C) Total Funded Status Ratio (TFSR): ratio of the present value of benefits earned to date **and** benefits that are expected to be earned in the future (including future salary increases) compared to the market value of assets **and** present value of contributions to be made in the future.

| | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 |
|--------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Funded Ratio | 73.5% | 73.4% | 77.3% | 73.2% | 75.9% |



Assets and Plan Contributions


This section contains historical information about market and actuarial value of assets, rates of return and about plan contributions. All dollar amounts shown are in thousands of dollars. For the 2007 and later valuations, actuarial value equals market value.



| <i>Period Beginning</i> | <i>Dec 31, 2014</i> | <i>Dec 31, 2015</i> | <i>Dec 31, 2016</i> | <i>Dec 31, 2017</i> | <i>Dec 31, 2018</i> | <i>Dec 31, 2019</i> |
|-------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| January 1, 2014 | 4.42% | 2.87% | 4.62% | 6.83% | 4.66% | 6.30% |
| January 1, 2015 | | 1.35% | 4.72% | 7.64% | 4.72% | 6.68% |
| January 1, 2016 | | | 8.20% | 10.94% | 5.87% | 8.06% |
| January 1, 2017 | | | | 13.74% | 4.73% | 8.02% |
| January 1, 2018 | | | | | -3.57% | 5.26% |
| January 1, 2019 | | | | | | 14.91% |

Assets and Plan Contributions (continued)

This section contains historical information about the expected and actual contributions.




Participant Data

| | <i>Jan 1, 2015</i> | <i>Jan 1, 2016</i> | <i>Jan 1, 2017</i> | <i>Jan 1, 2018</i> | <i>Jan 1, 2019</i> | <i>Jan 1, 2020</i> |
|---------------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| INACTIVE PARTICIPANTS | | | | | | |
| Average Age | | | | | | |
| Terminated Vested | 51.0 | 51.4 | 49.7 | 49.5 | 50.0 | 49.5 |
| In Pay Status | 74.0 | 74.0 | 73.8 | 73.6 | 73.7 | 73.5 |
| Average Monthly Pension | | | | | | |
| Terminated Vested | \$ 1,421 | \$ 1,344 | \$ 1,013 | \$ 1,222 | \$ 1,226 | \$ 1,262 |
| In Pay Status | 1,497 | 1,561 | 1,626 | 1,693 | 1,761 | 1,846 |
| Median Monthly Pension | | | | | | |
| Terminated Vested | \$ 1,138 | \$ 1,068 | \$ 798 | \$ 908 | \$ 952 | \$ 994 |
| In Pay Status | 1,234 | 1,282 | 1,339 | 1,402 | 1,459 | 1,529 |
| ACTIVE PARTICIPANTS | | | | | | |
| Average Age | | | | | | |
| At Entry | 34.7 | 34.6 | 34.6 | 34.6 | 34.5 | 34.8 |
| Current | 47.9 | 47.5 | 47.2 | 47.1 | 46.8 | 46.6 |
| Average Service | 13.2 | 12.9 | 12.7 | 12.5 | 12.3 | 11.9 |
| Total Covered Payroll (000's) | \$350,744 | \$361,964 | \$378,412 | \$392,350 | \$403,588 | \$411,655 |
| Average Prior Year Payroll (reported) | \$ 48,281 | \$ 48,761 | \$ 50,421 | \$ 52,609 | \$ 54,250 | \$ 54,661 |
| % Change in Average Payroll | 3.6% | 1.0% | 3.4% | 4.3% | 3.1% | 0.8% |

Participant Data (continued)


This section contains current and historical information about covered participants.

The ratio of actives to inactives has remained relatively stable but is trending downward. For an underfunded plan that relies on member contributions (matched by County) the level of the member population is important.




History of Funded Ratios

One important measure of a pension fund’s financial health is the ratio of existing plan assets (market value) to the present value of accrued benefits (Accrued Benefit Funded Status). The present value of accrued benefits incorporates benefits that members have earned, whether or not they are in pay status. The present value of accrued benefits does not consider future service or future salary.



History of Funded Ratios (continued)


A second measure of financial well-being is the ratio of *actuarial value of assets* to the *actuarial liability* [Actuarial Liability Funded Status]. There are typically two major differences between the Accrued Benefit Funded Status and the Actuarial Liability Funded Status. However, because the Plan does not use an asset smoothing method, the only difference between the two funded status measurements is how the liabilities are calculated. The actuarial accrued liability generally reflects anticipated future salary increase, while the present value of accrued benefits does not. The actuarial liability is a function of the actuarial funding method adopted by the fund and, as such, will vary by the funding method adopted. A precise comparison between plans is possible only if the methods as well as the assumptions are identical. The difference between the actuarial liability and the **actuarial** value of assets is referred to as the *unfunded liability*.



History of Funded Ratios (continued)

A third, perhaps the most important, measure of a fund's financial well-being is the *total assets to total liabilities* ratio [Total Funded Status Ratio of TFSR]. This measure incorporates the benefits members have already earned, as well as the benefits they are expected to earn in the future. Plan assets available to meet these current and future obligations include existing assets (at **market** value), together with the present value of contributions expected to be made in the future.

A ratio of 100% or more is a favorable indicator. A ratio of less than 100% may be tolerated over the short-term but a ratio of 100% is necessary for the long-term solvency of the Fund.




- Historical combined contribution rates were used according to the following schedule:

| | | | |
|-----------|-------|-----------|-----|
| 1997 | 15.0% | 2014 | 17% |
| 1998-1999 | 12.0% | 2015-2017 | 18% |
| 2000-2001 | 7.6% | 2018 | 19% |
| 2002 | 10.0% | 2019-2020 | 20% |
| 2003-2010 | 12.0% | | |
| 2011 | 14.0% | | |
| 2012-2013 | 16.0% | | |

History of Normal Cost

The Normal Cost of a plan is determined by the adopted actuarial funding method – in this case, by the Entry Age Normal cost method. Under this method, the normal cost is defined as a level of contribution (% or \$) such that the present value of all the future normal costs at entry age is precisely equal to the present value of all future benefits (and expenses) at entry age. This is a most important figure because barring future actuarial gains/losses or benefit modifications, the plan’s total cost will eventually reach this level. This occurs when the Unfunded Liability is exactly equal to \$0.

The normal cost also represents the pension cost associated with future employees (assuming the demographic profile of future employees is similar to that of the current group of participants). It is possible for a plan to adequately fund for its current participants at a fixed contribution level but realize, too late, that these contributions understate the cost that will be associated with future hires.




Actuarial Certification

I certify that I have performed an actuarial valuation of the above plan as of January 1, 2020 in accordance with generally accepted actuarial principles applied consistently with the preceding valuation. I meet the Qualification Standards of the American Academy of Actuaries to render this actuarial opinion.

I certify that the information in this Executive Summary is consistent with the formal report of the valuation.

Certified by



Bradford L. Rigby, ASA, EA, MAAA

11/11/2020

Date



Frank Canonico, EA, MAAA

11/11/2020

Date



Todd M. Kordecki,

11/11/2020

Date