COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA RETIREMENT BOARD OF ALLEGHENY COUNTY

* * * * * * * * *

PUBLIC MEETING

* * * * * * * * *

BEFORE: FRANK DICRISTOFARO, Chair

Ted Puzak, Member

Corey O'Connor, Member

Erica Rocchi Brusselars,

Member

Sara Innamorato, Member

Jennifer Liptak, Member

Sarah Roka, Member

HEARING: Thursday, February 15, 2024

12:00 p.m.

LOCATION: Microsoft Teams

WITNESSES: Chris Brokaw, Ed Boyer,

Perry Giovannelli

Reporter: Danielle S. Ohm

Any reproduction of this transcript is prohibited without authorization by the certifying agency.

			3
1	INDEX		
2			
3	DISCUSSION AMONG PARTIES	5	- 66
4	CERTIFICATE		6 7
5			
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

			4
1		E X H I B I T S	
2			
3			Page
4	<u>Number</u>	Description	Offered
5		NONE OFFERED	
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

I actually seconded the motion, so I just want to make sure we ---.

CHAIR DICRISTOFARO:

I'd like to talk about this for a second like I did last time before we get any further into this.

And I think everybody knows what I'm going to talk about right now.

And believe me, Erica,
this is nothing against you. I think
you're a wonderful person. Believe me,
I think you do.

I do not believe that this is the right way to go about this. Erica's been on this board for one month and has been a county employee for only one month. This is all a new board, and I don't think this is the right way to go. If this was up to the members and retirees of Allegheny County, I do not think that they would vote for this as the president of this board.

For the integrity and the

1 well-being of the members of this 2 board, I do not think this is the right 3 way to go. Teddy and I are the ones 4 that ran for this board. We are the 5 ones that wanted to be here. Everybody else on this board, other than Ms. 6 7 Roka, are six-figured salary 8 politicians that have to be here. 9 Teddy and I do not have to be here. Wе 10 wanted to be here for that reason. So we are here to 11 12 represent the members, and that's 13 basically all I have to say. So I do 14 not think this is the right way to go. 15 That's why I made a motion to table 16 this until another time for Erica to 17 gain experience. At that point, I will 18 support you in being maybe the 19 president of this board down the road. 20 I just don't think you're ready. 21 think you're a great person, but I 22 don't think you're ready to be the 23 president of this board at this point. 24 So at that point, I'm 25 going to shut up and let this go.

9 Thank you. 1 2 MR. SZYMANSKI: 3 Did you do a roll call 4 vote? 5 MS. LIPTAK: 6 We have a point of order. 7 There was not a second to the motion to 8 table, so I think that needs to occur 9 first before that's entertained. 10 That's the parliamentarian. 11 CHAIR DICRISTOFARO: 12 Go ahead. Corey, do you 13 want to take it over? 14 MR. O'CONNOR: 15 So there's been a motion 16 for an appointee and a second, and then 17 there was a motion for a table, and it 18 hasn't been seconded. 19 CHAIR DICRISTOFARO: 20 But there was no 21 discussion. There was no request for 22 discussion. 23 MR. SZYMANSKI: 24 On the motion for

25

appointment?

	10
1	CHAIR DICRISTOFARO:
2	Correct. At all.
3	MR. SZYMANSKI:
4	There hasn't been a vote
5	yet. But you get a discussion at this
6	point before taking a vote on the
7	motion for appointment.
8	CHAIR DICRISTOFARO:
9	Correct.
10	MR. SZYMANSKI:
11	The other motion did not
12	receive a second. So it's not pending?
13	CHAIR DICRISTOFARO:
14	Correct.
15	MR. O'CONNOR:
16	So I would say your
17	discussion was for the first motion
18	that you just said.
19	CHAIR DICRISTOFARO:
20	Right. Correct.
21	MR. O'CONNOR:
22	Okay.
23	So now we can just vote
24	on the original motion that has been
25	seconded.

11 1 Correct? 2 MR. SZYMANSKI: 3 Yes. If there's no 4 discussion, then you can go ahead and 5 vote. 6 MS. ROCCHI BRUSSELARS: 7 It looks like Member 8 Puzak has a question. 9 MR. O'CONNOR: I don't have a question. 10 11 MR. PUZAK: 12 Let's move on. You know, 13 I agree 100 percent with Frankie. Ι 14 mean, I think experience is good. Ι 15 think Erica is going to do a good job. 16 But if there's four votes in favor of supporting Erica, you know, let's move 17 18 on here. You know, it's ridiculous to 19 sit here and go over this over and over 20 again. You know, it's inevitable. 21 Make the motion and let's go on. 22 CHAIR DICRISTOFARO: 23 All in favor? 24 AYES RESPOND 25 CHAIR DICRISTOFARO:

	12
1	Opposed?
2	MS. ROCCHI BRUSSELARS:
3	I was expecting a roll
4	call vote.
5	MR. SZYMANSKI:
6	Yeah, I was just going to
7	ask, do you want a roll call vote or
8	are you okay with
9	MS. ROCCHI BRUSSELARS:
10	Let's do a roll call
11	vote.
12	MS. LIPTAK:
13	For clarification, this
14	is a roll call vote for the election of
15	Erica as the Board president of the
16	Retirement Board of Allegheny County.
17	MR. SZYMANSKI:
18	Yes. All right.
19	On the motion to elect
20	Erica Brusselars as the president of
21	the Board of Allegheny County
22	Retirement Board.
23	Ms. Liptak.
24	MS. LIPTAK:
25	Yes.

		13
1	MD CTVMANCET.	13
	MR. SZYMANSKI:	
2	Ms. Innamorato.	
3	MS. INNAMORATO:	
4	Yes.	
5	MR. SZYMANSKI:	
6	Mr. O'Connor.	
7	MR. O'CONNOR:	
8	Yes.	
9	MR. SZYMANSKI:	
10	Mr. DiCristofaro?	
11	CHAIR DICRISTOFARO:	
12	Opposed.	
13	MR. SZYMANSKI:	
14	Mr. Puzak.	
15	MR. PUZAK:	
16	${\tt N}$ o .	
17	CHAIR DICRISTOFARO:	
18	Ms. Brusselars.	
19	MS. BRUSSELARS:	
20	Yes.	
21	MR. SZYMANSKI:	
22	Ms. Roka.	
23	MS. ROKA:	
24	Yes.	
25	MR. SZYMANSKI:	

14 1 Votes are five to two. 2 Erica is now the president. 3 CHAIR DICRISTOFARO: 4 Congratulations. 5 MS. BRUSSELARS: 6 Thank you. 7 CHAIR DICRISTOFARO: 8 You may take over. Do 9 you want to switch seats? I guess 10 that's the way to do it. 11 Congratulations. 12 CHAIR BRUSSELARS: 13 Thank you. I'd like to 14 make a few comments. Thank you, Frank, 15 for your service as board president. 16 I'm excited for us to all get to work. 17 Just some items that I'm 18 planning to work on. I'll be putting 19 together some educational 20 opportunities. So I'm happy to hear 21 ideas from members of the Board on what 22 they would like that to look like, 23 either during the meetings or outside 24 of the meetings.

Also, I know Brian has

25

1 been working on starting to put 2 together bylaws, which the Board 3 currently does not have. And I also 4 will be asking the actuary to put 5 together some information on the 6 current plan funded status and some 7 information about how we are at the 8 funded status we're at. So there's the 9 things that I'll be focusing on as 10 board president. 11 And now back to the 12 business. Do we have any public 13 comments? 14 MR. SZYMANSKI: 15 We do not. 16 CHAIR BRUSSELARS: 17 All right. 18 Board approvals, the 19 Board meeting minutes. Can I have a 20 motion to approve the Board meeting 21 minutes? 22 MR. O'CONNOR:

So moved.

MR. DICRISTOFARO:

Second.

23

24

25

CHAIR BRUSSELARS:

on the Board meeting minutes? I have two items to add to the Board meeting minutes under the solicitor section. I had asked that the solicitor report be amended to include the Sunshine law comment provides for --- the Sunshine Law provides for a comment period if an agenda item is added. The solicitor's report did add that.

And also in the section related to asset strategies in Ed Boyer that I asked for a projection of funds coming back from the investments.

Is there any other discussion on the Board minutes?
Without further discussion, I'll call a vote. All in favor?

AYES RESPOND

MR. SZYMANSKI:

Any opposed? It passes.

The next item is the

financial statement. They are

generated by the controller's office.

Can I have a motion to approve the December 2023 financial statements?

MR. O'CONNOR:

So moved.

MR. DICRISTOFARO:

Second.

CHAIR BRUSSELARS:

Is there any discussion on the financial statements? Hearing no discussion I call for a vote. All in favor?

AYES RESPOND

CHAIR BRUSSELARS:

Any opposed? And it

passes.

invoices. There are two items in the Board book related to invoices. The first is in the investment manager's fees, totaling \$300,598.48. In the management fees in the invoices section there's also an additional invoice for a bond in the management section that relates to the treasurer's bond for serving on the retirement plan, and

1 that's in the amount of \$9,297.
2 Can I have a motion to

3 approve both fees?

MR. O'CONNOR:

So moved.

MR. DICRISTOFARO:

Second.

CHAIR BRUSSELARS:

Any discussion? Hearing no discussion, all in favor of paying the invoices?

AYES RESPOND

CHAIR BRUSSELARS:

Any opposed? And the motion to pay the February invoices passes.

Next item is February

2024. Retirement applications. These are applications that have been filed by the retirement into the retirement office, and they are confirmed participants with eligible and calculated benefits for their payments. There's ten early retirements, 29 full retirements, and one payment plan. May

I have a motion to approve these applications?

MR. O'CONNOR:

4 So moved.

MS. ROKA:

Second.

7 <u>CHAIR BRUSSELARS:</u>

8 Any discussion? Hearing

9 no discussion, I would call a vote.

10 All in favor?

3

5

6

11 AYES RESPOND

12 CHAIR BRUSSELARS:

13 Any opposed? And the

14 motion passes.

15 Reports. The manager

16 report with Walt.

MR. SZYMANSKI:

18 Thank you very much. If

19 you turn to the manager's report

20 section of your board books, I'll

21 | highlight some areas on the dashboard

22 for the month.

23 Retiree payroll is up

24 | eight percent as compared to this time

25 | last year. \$11,724,885. Last year was

\$11,315,051. Contributions made are up seven and .75 percent. Contributions refunded are a lot higher right now.

It might look a little skewed only because we had an earlier cut off in December of this year due to the testing with the new software.

Number of refunds for the year are up, same reason. Memberships are up 37.65 percent. Members with additional life insurance are up 1.56 percent and this would go in line with retirements themselves going up. Total retirements on the year up 53.85 percent. If you turn to the next page, pension estimates are up about seven and a half percent.

Capital calls are up
three percent from last year, and I've
included capital calls as of the prior
board meeting. The office budget
itself, too, may look a little skewed
as there are still being accruals and
everything entered, I believe, in the
controller's office. So next month we

1 might get a clearer picture of what the 2 final budget was for 2024 --- or 2023. 3 My apologies. 4 Okay. 5 So board approvals, one 6 of which was already approved under 7 invoices, but just to recap, this was 8 approving the bond quote for the 9 treasurer. This is always done as a 10 four-year term, and it covers the term 11 of the treasurer. The second item I 12 had --- are there any questions on the 13 dashboard? 14 CHAIR BRUSSELARS: 15 I had a couple of 16 questions. 17 MR. SZYMANSKI: 18 Okay.

CHAIR BRUSSELARS:

There were --- on the

buyback applications, does that typically happen when somebody gets rehired with the county, or is that when they're closer to retirement?

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. SZYMANSKI:

time. So there's a couple of different buyback applications. There can be a prior service application that if they left the county and come back and want to buy back their time, they can set up a two-year payment plan with the Board. You can have a prior military application that functions under the same guidelines. They have up to two years to pay it back or a six-month waiver.

So if you started with the county waived your first six months, you have that same option to request a payment plan with the Board and pay it back over time.

CHAIR BRUSSELARS:

Thank you.

MR. SZYMANSKI:

You're welcome.

MR. PUZAK:

I believe that this board should be informed that a Bill was passed by the previous board, or this

board, that allowed veterans to buy
their military time without the
interest. Prior to that bill that was
passed with the effort of this board,
they had to come back after serving
their commitment, their duty, and they
had to pay interest on the years that
they wanted to buy into the county.

Now, I'm not sure if everybody on this board is aware of that, and I'm not sure if all the military people that work in the county are aware of that.

MR. SZYMANSKI:

We try to educate them
the best we can. And I was actually
going to be working on a military
buyback with Duncan this year to do a
presentation so they understand,
because there is an interest free
period. So there's not a total
interest free payment on the buyback
unless you're within a period. So the
period for those hired prior to 2014 is
from years 8 to 11. Once they vest,

they cannot buy back this time until they vest, they have an interest free window to purchase that time back up to three years.

Those who are enact 125, it's once they vest at year 10 through year 13 that they can buy back their military time with a no interest window as well. Once that window exhausts, interest is calculated.

MR. PUZAK:

So who established that?

I wasn't completely aware of that.

ATTORNEY GABRIEL:

Who established the

window?

MR. PUZAK:

Yes.

ATTORNEY GABRIEL:

Yeah, I think that's a matter of the actual legislation speaks to it. So the window is governed by the Retirement Law in 16 Purdon's 4710, I think. I would double check.

MR. PUZAK:

I wasn't aware of these calculations. I just thought if they worked for the county and they wanted to buy X amount of time that they served active duty, they were allowed, not that there was some kind of cut off at each time and so forth.

So you know, I just really believe that the veterans should be more informed of that situation completely, you know what I mean? So they could buy that time back and so forth. So that's fine. I just wanted to --- go ahead, Brian.

MR. SZYMANSKI:

In working with HR in the past, too, we have gotten an active list of those on military leave and make sure that they apply for their active service, too, while they're county employees. So if they go on leave while they are working, they have the ability to request that time to be credited. Then the county pays both their contribution and the employee's

1 contribution. Most are due at the time 2 of retirement. However, we were 3 working with billing them as they were 4 coming in. 5 MR. PUZAK: 6 Okay. thank you, Walter. 7 MR. SZYMANSKI: 8 You're welcome. 9 CHAIR BRUSSELARS: 10 And then I had one other little question. Is the life insurance 11 12 claims, is that a third-party insurer 13 or are those life insurance benefits 14 paid out of that pension plan? 15 MR. SZYMANSKI: 16 That's a third-party 17 insurance with the standard. It's 18 actually a policy, I believe, that 19 piggybacks off the county's policy for

CHAIR BRUSSELARS:

Thank you. That

concludes my question.

active employees.

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. SZYMANSKI:

Thank you. So I did have

one board approval request since the other one was already approved. It was requesting approval of a quote for video streaming services. The cost would be at \$950 per meeting for the first hour and \$150 per meeting for each additional. A YouTube channel would be created. And this is with the same contractor who currently films the county council meetings and the jail oversight board meetings.

MR. O'CONNOR:

Motion to approve.

MS. ROKA:

Second.

CHAIR BRUSSELARS:

Discussion? I have some

discussion. I spoke with administrative services, the administrative services county department, and they think they can --- maybe not the YouTube channel set up, but they think they can provide coverage for the meetings. There's actually somebody in the back recording

1 the meeting right now from county services.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So if --- I'd propose that we try to work for a few months with administrative services, as it won't cost the retirement board anything, or I guess I can't propose within a discussion. I've let everyone have that information.

MR. O'CONNOR:

So I will withdraw the motion to approve and motion to table. I need a second first.

MS. LIPTAK:

I think you can just withdraw it and then it's done. And then if the executive is okay, I'll consult with our administrative services director about the time and making sure that our individuals can continue to do this. And if at such time it becomes a problem, I'll reach out to the executive and we'll coordinate and confirm.

Okay?

29 1 But I would like to speak 2 with the director. She didn't mention 3 it to me, but I'm sure it's fine. 4 CHAIR BRUSSELARS: 5 It was accidentally 6 surprised faster than I meant it to. 7 MR. LIPTAK: 8 Hello. Hi. He's great, 9 but ---. 10 CHAIR BRUSSELARS: 11 Certainly. 12 MR. O'CONNOR: 13 So for the motion, would 14 you want me to table it, the actual 15 request for the YouTube? If we end up 16 doing that, it's already there. 17 CHAIR BRUSSELARS: 18 Well, I think we can't 19 vote on anything with a cost at this 20 meeting anyway, because it wasn't on 21 the agenda. 22 MR. O'CONNOR: 23 Oh, no, that's fine. 24 This motion, we're just withdrawing and 25 that's it.

1 <u>CHAIR BRUSSELARS:</u>

2 The motion's been

3 withdrawn, so there's no motion. Okay.

Was there anything else

in your manager's report, Walt?

MR. SZYMANSKI:

Yes. So we have

tentatively scheduled a board member training session for the afternoon of Monday, March 18th, 2024. There will be presentations from the consultants, the actuary, the solicitor and members of my office. We are also letting that open to the public. If the public would like to attend. It's going to be held in conference room one for now. If we end up having a large attendance, we may try to move it to the Gold Room up here. So there will be information on that going out in the next few weeks.

CHAIR BRUSSELARS:

Do we have an expected time range for the afternoon?

MR. SZYMANSKI:

We're thinking 12:00 to 4:00, 12:00 to 4:30.

And then lastly, it's not in the book, but we have hopefully run our first successful payroll out of the new Koriak pension software.

CHAIR BRUSSELARS:

Congratulations.

MR. SZYMANSKI:

Thank you. So we should know on Thursday this week, and if it is, we should hopefully be completely live by this time next month.

CHAIR BRUSSELARS:

That's great.

MR. SZYMANSKI:

So thank you for the Board's support and all the hard work that Carol's done and the staff to get this up and running, and the staff at Koriak. Thank you.

CHAIR BRUSSELARS:

Thanks. The next item in the agenda is the solicitor's report.

ATTORNEY GABRIEL:

Thank you, Erica. The solicitor's report is current as of February 8th. I had one action item request to bring to the Board's attention.

At the very top of page two, the section that says Anco Consulting, Inc. You had received from Mr. Brokaw a memo dealing with their merger and name change. And so the request of the Board is to consider providing its consent to that for SEC purposes primarily.

And the Board ---Chris

--- Mr. Brokaw is here to answer any
questions. So if the Board wishes to
act on it now, that's great. If not,
you could choose to do that later if
you had questions for Mr. Brokaw.

CHAIR BRUSSELARS:

Are there any questions for Mr. Brokaw? Are we looking for a motion to consent to it today?

ATTORNEY GABRIEL:

Yes.

1 MR. O'CONNOR: 2 So moved. 3 MS. ROKA: 4 Second. 5 CHAIR BRUSSELARS: 6 Hearing a motion and a 7 second, is there any discussion related 8 to, I guess, the motion to approve the 9 request for consent that Anco's 10 contract will be assigned to Mariner 11 upon their merger or acquisition? What 12 is the motion? 13 ATTORNEY GABRIEL: 14 Yeah, it's consent to 15 assignment for SEC purposes. 16 CHAIR BRUSSELARS: 17 Okay. 18 ATTORNEY GABRIEL: 19 We are not assigning the 20 contract that we have --- the Board has 21 with Mr. Brokaw. It doesn't really 22 require that, but it is for SEC 23 purposes. And there's a form that he 24 circulated in connection with a memo 25 that will be signed by the board

1 president if this motion is approved.

CHAIR BRUSSELARS:

Okay.

Hearing the clarification
on the motion, any other discussion?
All in favor say aye.

7 AYES RESPOND

CHAIR BRUSSELARS:

Any opposed? The motion

passes.

2

3

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ATTORNEY GABRIEL:

I had no other items to bring to the Board's attention, but I'd certainly be happy to answer any questions.

CHAIR BRUSSELARS:

I read through and there was the comment in eight. The second number, a, was county council salary employee issue. My understanding is that the retirement office provided materials to county council on February 2nd, either in conjunction with their meeting --- or 6th, I mean.

MR. SZYMANSKI:

35 1 I mean, yeah, it was 2 February 6 before the meeting. 3 CHAIR BRUSSELARS: 4 Okay. 5 I didn't know if that 6 should just be in the notes as well. 7 Or is that not since it didn't involve 8 the solicitor's office, it wouldn't be? 9 ATTORNEY GABRIEL: 10 Yeah, actually, I was 11 supposed to be there, but was unable to 12 make it for family reasons. But the reason it didn't make into the report 13 14 was just the timing of getting the 15 report finalized tends to happen a day 16 or two before the materials are sent to 17 the retirement office. 18 CHAIR BRUSSELARS: 19 Okay. 20 ATTORNEY GABRIEL: 21 But it will be in the 22 next report. 23 CHAIR BRUSSELARS: 24 Okay. Thank you. 25 Is there anything

	36
1	other questions for Brian? Thank you.
2	ATTORNEY GABRIEL:
3	Thank you.
4	CHAIR BRUSSELARS:
5	Next up, consultants.
6	Tom Brokaw of AndCo Consulting.
7	MR. BROKAW:
8	Thank you. I believe you
9	said Tom.
10	CHAIR BRUSSELARS:
11	Brokaw from AndCo
12	Consulting. Feel free to correct me.
13	MR. O'CONNOR:
14	No disrespect, Chris, but
15	if Tom Brokaw was here, it'd be a much
16	better meeting.
17	MR. BROKAW:
18	It probably would, yeah.
19	But I did at least get my announcer
20	haircut, so you know, I don't know,
21	you can only see the back of my head on
22	the video, but I've been called worse.
23	So thank you. And thank you for the
24	motion earlier.
25	The first three pages

or I should say four pages of the report, if you look in the section

AndCo report in your book, first three pages address the consent. And again, thank you for the motion to approve it.

Going forward, nothing will change. Tim and I will be here.

Continue to service the account. All of AndCo will become Mariner. The only difference is the books will be blue.

That's the primary change.

So if you turn to page six, page six is market update through December. And since it is year end, I wanted to focus on not just the month and the quarter, but also the calendar year. And one thing to take away here, if you look at the top right in those boxes on the right-hand side, is 2022. On the left-hand side, labeled year-to-date, is 2023. And you see significant recovery, a lot of positive numbers and these various boxes are different sections of the market. Growth, value, large cap, small cap.

And what I want to point to is in 2022, the equity market, especially the growth, if you look on the far right, you see negative numbers from 26 percent in small cap, that's the lower right-hand corner in the red in that chart, to negative 29 percent, the large cap.

Whereas this year we've had the mirror opposite with the large cap, growth returns over 42 percent.

So those are the returns for the year. If you look over to the left at the table with equities, you can see that in the index returns, significantly positive numbers in the month of December. And those carry through to the quarter and year to date, with a large cap, S and P 500 up 26 percent.

bottom, MSCI, IFA, up over 18 percent.

Bonds had another good month in

December, up almost four percent. And year to date, you see the broad market aggregate, up five and a half percent.

International down at the

And those returns, if you look at the bottom left, driven, and I may have spoken of this in past meetings, driven by the two sectors you see there on the far left, information technology, communication services, up over 50 percent towards 60 percent. Those are --- if you watch the news and they talk about magnificent seven stocks, the Apple, Amazon, Microsoft, Tesla, et cetera. Having a very good year, driving the large cap portion of the U.S. market forward and contributing to your portfolio's performance, which we see on page Your portfolio for the month of December up 2.66 percent and for the quarter 5.4 and for the year almost seven and a half percent.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So a lot of the returns attributable to the fourth quarter, we had two good quarters at the beginning of '23 and then the third quarter, some negative performance concerns about both the economy and rising interest

rates which were assuaged in the fourth quarter and led to very good returns.

In the U.S. equity you see for the month up 5.7 percent and in the month of December, small cap stocks outperforming the large cap, contributing to the performance as well as the relative performance in the U.S. equity portfolio.

In international, you see your portfolio up 5.39 percent.

International had been driven by parts of the market that are more value oriented. So as opposed to the U.S. market which was driven by technology, other sectors like industrials, financials, materials were driving the international markets.

But now there's a more balanced growth and value returns in the international market that is contributing to your relative performance. In the core, fixed income interest rates fell. Your portfolio is a bit less volatile than the market.

So when interest rates fell, bond prices went up. Your portfolio up 3.3, a bit behind the market in the month. Over the year-to-date period we've had a lot of volatility and so your portfolio in line slightly ahead of the target.

High yield though falling interest rates as well as stable spreads, that spread being the reward you get for holding bonds, non-treasury bonds, those spreads remain stable.

Interest rates fell returns in high yield even better than in the core bond market.

In real estate, your portfolio with a bit more stability than the index, the market in general, a little less exposure to core office space, which is really the most challenged part of the market. So negative performance but relative to the index performing --- performing four percent ahead of it. Three and a half percent.

1 In infrastructure

portfolio continues to benefit from volumes within ports, airports and toll roads that are recovering from --- still recovering from COVID era lack of travel.

And finally, private equity. Stable private equity doesn't reprice at the same rate as the public equities. It's not traded in the market. So much greater stability there in the month. Finally, the liquidity portfolio, 5.91 percent.

That portfolio, again, maintains your exposure to the market and is very liquid and is a funding source when you need to pay the monthly benefit payments.

Any questions on performance? Okay. Hearing none, if we turn to page 14 again, I think given that these numbers are through the end of the year, it's a good time to look at some of the cash flows in the portfolio that occur over the course of

the year.

So the top line there for the total fund, you see cash outflows, a net flow of \$55 million. And this is, again, primarily for benefit payments coming out of the portfolio. You can see on this page, as you go down, the equity source of funds. As the equity market continued to perform well throughout the year, became over allocated relative to its target, and we took, trimmed some of those gains that occurred, used the proceeds for cash flows.

Same thing with the international. And then if you look at the bottom of the page, high yield as well.

I do want to point out on page 15, you see negative flows coming out of the Morgan Stanley Prime

Property Fund, that fund. About a year ago, the Board put in a redemption request. That fund had, it's a private investment, it had a gate, so it's

1 paying out a portion of that request 2 over time. So last year, \$10 million, this year we can expect another \$10 3 4 million, and that is bringing that real 5 estate portfolio in line with its 6 target. The infrastructure was just 7 funded at the beginning of 2023. 8 That's why you see a large number 9 there. And the private equity is 10 something that I wanted to point to. 11 It certainly doesn't answer the 12 president's question about cash flows. 13 The details of that, Ed, certainly can 14 provide. There's a lot of moving 15 parts.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But just to give you a kind of one-year snapshot, top down, you can look at that private equity composite, that net flow line, negative 11.6 million. That means net of any capital calls. Last year, in 2023, you received \$11 million from that portfolio.

In prior years, that number had been as high as \$25 million.

1 The market is not as liquid as it had 2 been in past years, so, you know, these 3 managers are selling their assets into 4 the market. If and when that picks up 5 --- and I'll let Ed speak to 6 projections to the future --- if and 7 when that picks up, it can generate 8 more cash.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Finally, on page 16, just to tie in with those cash flows, this is the asset allocation and most assets roughly in line with the target allocations. And we have no rebalancing recommendations, no need to move any cash at this point.

So that concludes my remarks, and I'd be happy to answer any questions.

CHAIR BRUSSELARS:

 $\label{eq:theorem} \hbox{I have a question about}$ the rebalancing.

MR. BROKAW:

Yes.

CHAIR BRUSSELARS:

I know there was a

question last year around the liquid policy had gotten very low.

MR. BROKAW:

Yes.

CHAIR BRUSSELARS:

And money was pulled out of some overinvested classes or target classes. We have an investment policy that says five percent for the liquid policy portfolio.

MR. BROKAW:

Yes.

CHAIR BRUSSELARS:

But we don't tend to keep it there. I guess one question is there a reason we're not more often just auto rebalancing and a sort of separate maybe question about why do we have this policy that we don't seem to be at ever?

MR. BROKAW:

Well, there's a lot of moving parts, and so over time, we do want to move towards the policy, and that's what, on a monthly basis, we

have various recommendations to move the portfolio back towards the policy. So we are actively moving in that direction. The second part of that answer is, some of these investments are illiquid to one degree or another.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So let's say it's a tanker or a ship of some sort, and trying to nudge it back into line is a multi-step process. So for example --- and then this will be my last comment. For example, when you look at the private equity, you know, many of those decisions were made 5, 10 15 years ago, and it was probably two years ago or at its peak, that was up towards 23, 2 4 percent of the portfolio. And you know, it's taken this long, and those monthly quarterly distributions to come out of the portfolio, put it back into line.

MR. PUZAK:

You always get a nice long answer from Chris.

I got a brief question.

What's the scoop on inflation? And what's the scoop on, like, the economy? Because you hear people bitching about the economy, and I see signs everywhere. Get a job. You know, you can work anywhere.

MR. BROKAW:

Right.

MR. PUZAK:

So what's the take on

that?

MR. BROKAW:

So regarding scoop, and to keep it brief, if you look at the data coming out, the data continues to be relatively good for the economy, even better than expectations when you look at inflation. Inflation had been continuing on a downward path. And just the most recent announcement, which caused some, you know, negative performance in the market, the inflation number that was reported was not as low as expected, but in the big scheme of things, in the summer 2022,

inflation was over nine percent. Right
now it's a ---.

MR. PUZAK:

3.1.

MR. BROKAW:

Exactly.

MR. PUZAK:

Why didn't I ask you that question? I could have saved myself some time, I guess.

MR. BROKAW:

Yeah. All right. Here's the bit longer piece. If you want to watch. You're watching the news. A big chunk of that inflation is housing, and that is reported on a delay. So you can have a fair expectation that it could decline.

MR. PUZAK:

The reason I brought that up is that I'm, like, tired of hearing the stuff. You know what I mean? It's the economy. It's inflation. It's like, to me, things are good. If you want to get a job, you can go out and

50 1 get a job, you know. Cut the bullshit 2 out. 3 Right, Sarah? 4 MS. INNAMORATO: 5 We're hiring. 6 MR. PUZAK: 7 All right. Thanks, 8 Chris. 9 MR. BROKAW: 10 Thank you. 11 CHAIR BRUSSELARS: 12 Does that conclude your 13 report? 14 MR. BROKAW: 15 Yes, it does. 16 CHAIR BRUSSELARS: 17 Thank you. Any other 18 questions? I think next up, we've got 19 --- oh, I get a whisper. 20 We have Ed Boyer from Asset Strategy on --- you coming up? 21 22 Okay. Or did you want to be Tom Boyer 23 today? 24 MR. BOYER: 25 Thank you.

CHAIR BRUSSELARS:

Just so --- there weren't
any materials in our report?

MR. BOYER:

No, we didn't submit a report, because there's a lot of things in transition at this time between the audits of all the partnerships that are occurring, which will solidify the evaluations of the particular holdings they have, and we're doing simultaneously trying to get our hands wrapped around the future distributions for the rest of the year.

That probably requires calling them all. They probably don't want to put that information in writing or in an email because it's inevitably not precise enough, but it'll give us some estimate as to what we're going to get back, and that'll affect our allocation.

Okay.

A couple of things. We only learned this morning that you're

moving along on the RFP. We found the original RFP back in 2009. We're going to extract the questions and put them on whatever. One, two, three pages.

Whatever is required. We'll send them to Walt and Brian.

I'll let them distribute
it, and just be a guideline or a
reference point as to see whether
you're covering what you want. Then I
just heard you mention an education
session March 18. And so what I'd like
to know is, in our particular case,
what do you want us to cover? How long
will we have? What are the key points
that you really like us to dig into?

CHAIR BRUSSELARS:

I'd turn to Walt if you had something in mind already.

MR. ZYMANSKI:

Yeah, I'll work with you on that, Ed.

MR. BOYER:

Okay, great.

MR. ZYMANSKI:

53 1 Absolutely. 2 MR. BOYER: 3 I think it's a great 4 idea. 5 All right. 6 That's really all I have. 7 I just wanted to make sure that you 8 were aware of some other details on 9 this. 10 CHAIR BRUSSELARS: 11 Thank you. 12 MR. BOYER: 13 Yes. Thank you. 14 MR. PUZAK: 15 Thanks, Ed. 16 MR. BOYER: 17 You're welcome, Ted. 18 MR. PUZAK: 19 What do we got, Perry. 20 CHAIR BRUSSELARS: Oh, yeah. Next we have 21 Perry Giovanelli. Am I saying that 22 23 right? Did I do okay? From PFM. 24 MR. GIOVANELLI: 25 That was good. That was

1 good.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And I'm the youngest of seven. So really, you throw out a name, I'll answer. It really doesn't matter. Kind of accustomed to it.

So just turning into the second tab in our reports, I don't have your page numbers, but I believe it's the middle of the report, manager performance review. I just wanted to point out a few things on a couple of the managers.

CHAIR BRUSSELARS:

Is there a page number?

MR. GIOVANNELLI:

16 Walt, do you have it on

17 | your report? My bottom right

18 | corner ---

MR. ZYMANSKI:

Yeah, we have page

numbers.

MR. GIOVANNELLI:

--- one on my pages. The

bottom right corner.

CHAIR BRUSSELARS:

55 2.1? 1 2 MR. GIOVANNELLI: 3 3.1. 4 MR. PUZAK: 5 3.1. 6 CHAIR BRUSSELARS: 7 Thanks. 8 MR. GIOVANNELLI: 9 So overall in the 10 emerging manager program, there's 11 \$69,781,000 invested in the program. 12 If you look at since inception going 13 out to January of 2014, the overall 14 performance for the program is at 7.43 15 percent. Pretty solid returns. Prior 16 to 2022, it was actually well over 8 17 percent. 18 Obviously, 2022 brought 19 things down significantly from that 20 standpoint. With that, we have several 21 managers in here that had pretty good 22 quarters. Just want to point that out 23 for Grasso financial advisors actually 24 had a 12.55 percent return versus the

benchmark at 11.69. Some of their

25

longer numbers are trailing a bit.

Since inception they are at 11.31

percent return, but it is trailing

their benchmark, which is at 13 and a

half from there.

very well in the domestic equity growth. We compare it to the Russell 3000 growth index. So it's more of your large cap growth companies. The quarter was a little light, but if you look at their calendar year, they came in at 43.24 percent versus the benchmark at 41.21 percent.

markets bounced back the best this year and in 2023, and Emerald did quite well in that area. With that, just a couple of other managers from that standpoint, Emstone advisors handles fixed income for you. They've been consistent in how they've been performing. They came in at 4.64 for the quarter, 5.33 for the year, versus the benchmark, 5.24. So they're definitely performing

consistently.

On the page down below on 3.2. Washington alliance. We actually have two Washington alliances, fund one and fund two. Fund one is nearing the last couple of years of its program, but has been really the star of the program from a standpoint of diversity, ownership, an office in Pittsburgh, and really stellar performance. If you look at their inception to date, going back to 2013, their return is 15.2 versus the benchmark at 7.1.

Fund two has been doing very well, and if you recall back in October, you approved committing an additional \$5 million to fund two, which the initial commitment was \$2 million. So overall, when you're done through that process, it'll be a \$7 million commitment to fund two.

Fund two is also doing very well considering it started right in the middle of COVID in April of 2020 is when it went into the program.

```
1
      Return on that inception is 10.52
2
      versus 3.88. With that, that's really
3
      kind of just --- I just want to kind of
4
      point out some of the highlights. The
5
      March meeting is crucial. I'm really
6
      looking ---.
7
                    MS. LIPTAK:
8
                    I have a question about
9
      that.
10
                    MR. GIOVANNELLI:
11
                    Sure.
12
                    MS. LIPTAK:
13
                    Why are the numbers on
14
      your report for returns different than
15
      the numbers on AndCo's report for the
16
      same period you?
17
                    MR. GIOVANNELLI:
18
                    Do you have January 31 or
19
      December 30?
20
                    MS. LIPTAKE:
21
                    They're both 12/31/23,
22
      both for the same values. I'm looking
23
      at for Gaso in particular, who for the
24
      quarter was ahead of the benchmark,
25
      but, you know, since inception has
```

```
1
      never met the benchmark. But your
2
      numbers are different than what's on
3
      AndCo's report for several. So I was
4
      just wondering, you know, if it's just
5
      the timing of pulling them or
6
      something, but the return numbers
7
      aren't the same, but the values are for
8
      the funds, and that could ---.
9
                    MR. GIOVANNELLI:
10
                    They're gross.
11
12
      (WHEREUPON, AN OFF RECORD DISCUSSION
13
      WAS HELD.)
14
15
                    CHAIR BRUSSELARS:
16
                    Could you use the mic,
17
      Chris?
18
                    MR. BROKAW:
19
                    I have all sorts of
20
      names. Mike. Chris. Tom.
21
                    CHAIR BRUSSELARS:
22
                    You're very popular.
23
                    MR. BROKAW:
24
                    The numbers on our report
25
      are net fees, and the numbers in the
```

PFM book are ---

2 MR. GIOVANNELLI:

Gross.

MR. BROKAW:

5 --- gross of fees.

MS. LIPTAK:

Yeah. I think for

consistency sake, it would be nice if we could see them in the same format because it's a little confusing, and I always look at things net of fees. I don't know if the other board members do, but I appreciate that.

I'm looking at these, and when we're looking at how this relates to our overall fund, and I think I've been pretty clear in the past that I haven't necessarily been pleased with some of the funds that we've been paying for, that we are paying fees for, that haven't even met the benchmark, but we could pretty much get for free. So that's all. I was just wondering why the numbers were different. I accept your explanation.

1 I appreciate that. Thank you. 2 MR. GIOVANNELLI: 3 Okay, great. So I'll make sure our 4 5 reports are coming in at net. MS. LIPTAK: 6 7 If that's appropriate, I 8 just --- if it's the appropriate way to 9 do it, just for consistency purposes, 10 that's all. Or if there's a note on 11 there, whatever is the appropriate way. 12 That's why I wanted to ---. 13 CHAIR BRUSSELARS: 14 My take is we're 15 comparing them to benchmarks that are 16 net of fees. 17 Is that reasonable? 18 MR. GIOVANNELLI: 19 No, it's no problem at 20 all. We were doing gross from that 21 standpoint, so it's not ---. 22 CHAIR BRUSSELARS: 23 Yeah, it says in the 24 notes. I'd prefer net also. Okay, 25 net. Thank you. Thanks, Jen.

MR. GIOVANNELLI:

Okay.

So with that, the only other thing I want to mention. We added a manager. Back in October you voted to approve Stone Ridge, which is a firm that's actually headquartered in Philadelphia with representation here in Pittsburgh. Diversity owned firm, small cap. That actually was funded in January. So the next report will have that in.

a million dollars off of Fagrasso and a million dollars off of CIM. So those two managers we reduced. And that's where the \$2 million came up for Stone Ridge. But that will be the next report. Because I think it actually funded. I want to say January 8th is the actual date, but it's basically the first week of January.

CHAIR BRUSSELARS:

When will the Washington Alliance Capital fund two \$5 million be

1 funded? Or will they call for it? 2 MR. GIOVANNELLI: 3 They made calls on it. 4 So it'll take some time. But looking 5 forward to the March meeting. review and kind of focus on some areas 6 7 that I'm looking for direction from you 8 guys on. 9 CHAIR BRUSSELARS: 10 Thank you. Did anyone 11 have any other questions? Thank you. 12 MR. GIOVANNELLI: 13 Thank you. 14 CHAIR BRUSSELARS: 15 That concludes the 16 reports. We have new business. 17 18 The RFP subcommittee met earlier this 19 month. I'll turn it over to Member 20 Roka. 21 MS. ROKA: 22 Thank you. The 23 subcommittee met last week and we 24 decided to bring two motions to the full board for your consideration. 25 The

first motion is a motion to extend and amend the contract with Asset Strategy Consultants. On a month-to-month term at the current contract amount, and I'll make that motion.

MR. DICRISTOFARO:

I'll second that.

CHAIR BRUSSELARS:

Hearing the motion and the second is there any discussion?

Hearing no discussion we will vote.

On the motion to extend

and amend the contract with Asset

Strategies. On a month-to-month term

at the current contract amount. All in favor?

AYES RESPOND

CHAIR BRUSSELARS:

Any opposed? Hearing no opposition, the motion passes.

MS. ROKA:

And then the second motion is a motion to draft an RFP for private equity consulting services to be reviewed and approved by the Board.

	65
1	And I'll make that motion.
2	MR. DICRISTOFARO:
3	Second.
4	CHAIR BRUSSELARS:
5	Hearing a motion and a
6	second. Is there any discussion on the
7	motion to draft an RFP for Private
8	Equity Consulting Services?
9	MR. O'CONNOR:
10	I'd just like to thank
11	the committee and Sarah for chairing
12	that, so All good.
13	CHAIR BRUSSELARS:
14	Hearing no discussion.
15	All in favor of the motion to draft an
16	RFP for Private Equity Consulting
17	Services. Please say aye.
18	AYES RESPOND
19	CHAIR BRUSSELARS:
20	Any opposed? The motion
21	passes. Were there any other items
22	from your subcommittee? Thank you.
23	Anything else?
24	MS. ROKA:
25	No. Thank you.

	66
1	CHAIR BRUSSELARS:
2	Anything else? Walt says
3	no oh, yes.
4	MR. BOYER:
5	We're just very grateful
6	that you're extending our agreement to
7	whatever time the future that you
8	select.
9	CHAIR BRUSSELARS:
10	Thank you. All right.
11	Do I have a motion to
12	adjourn?
13	MR. O'CONNOR:
14	So moved.
15	MR. DICRISTOFARO:
16	Second.
17	CHAIR BRUSSELARS:
18	All in favor?
19	AYES RESPOND
20	CHAIR BRUSSELARS:
21	The meeting's over. The
22	meeting's adjourned.
23	* * * * * * *
24	MEETING CONCLUDED AT 1:00 P.M.
25	* * * * * * *

CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify, as the stenographic reporter, that the foregoing proceedings were taken stenographically by me, and thereafter reduced to typewriting by me or under my direction; and that this transcript is a true and accurate record to the best of my ability. Dated the 4 day of March, 2024

Court Reporter
Danielle Ohm