THE RETIREMENT BOARD OF

ALLEGHENY COUNTY

\* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \*

PUBLIC MEETING

\* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \*

BEFORE: ERICA ROCCHI BRUSSELARS,

Chair

Sara Innamorato, Member

Kimberly Joyce, Member

Corey O'Connor, Member

Sarah Roka, Member

Jennifer Liptak, Member

HEARING: Thursday, October 17, 2024

12:00 p.m.

LOCATION: Allegheny County Courthouse

4th Floor, Gold Room

436 Grant Street

Pittsburgh, PA 15219

WITNESSES: None offered

Reporter: Chelsea Curry

Any reproduction of this transcript
is prohibited without authorization
by the certifying agency.

		3
1	I N D E X	
2		
3	DISCUSSION AMONG PARTIES	5 - 62
4	CERTIFICATE	6 3
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

			4
1		EXHIBITS	
2			
3			Page
4	<u>Number</u>	Description	Offered
5		NONE OFFERED	
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

and then we will propose them formally at the November meeting, and then we'll have a vote on them at the December meeting.

And then we're in very preliminary talks, and I haven't talked to many or any of the council people about this, about changing the meeting schedule next year to be bi monthly.

So I'll reach out to you all to discuss that.

And also, Corey, thank
you for reminding us to say something.
Frank DiCristofaro's mom passed away
this week, so we sent him our
condolences.

Next are Board approvals.

Can I have a motion to approve the

Board meeting minutes from September

19th, 2024?

# MR. O'CONNOR:

So moved.

# MS. JOYCE:

Second.

CHAIR:

```
1
                    Hearing a motion and a
2
      second, is there any discussion?
3
      Hearing no discussion, all in favor say
4
      aye.
5
      (AYES RESPOND)
6
                    CHAIR:
7
                     Aye. Any opposed?
8
      (NO RESPONSE)
9
                    CHAIR:
10
                    Hearing none, the motion
11
      passes. Next, can I have a motion to
12
      accept the financial statements, which
13
      include the pension fund assets, the
14
      statements of changes in plan net
15
      assets, and the RBAC balance sheet for
16
      August 2024?
17
                    MR. O'CONNOR:
18
                     So moved.
19
                    MS. JOYCE:
20
                    Second.
21
                    CHAIR:
22
                    Hearing a motion and a
23
      second, is there any discussion?
24
      Hearing none, all in favor, say aye.
25
      (AYES RESPOND)
```

a couple motions ago.

2 MS. INNAMORATO:

We're flying through.

CHAIR:

We're --- we're going fast. This is half the speed of last year, though.

Next, we have manager reports with Walt Szymanski.

# MR. SZYMANSKI:

Thank you very much. If you turn to the managers report tab in your book, I'll highlight some areas on the dashboard. Retiree payroll's up 3.99 percent as of year to date. Total contributions made are up 9.8 percent. Contributions refunded are up 2.44 percent. Total retirements are up 25.51 percent.

page, pension estimates are up 7.11 percent. Capital calls are up 5.58 percent, and as of the last meeting, there was \$1.543 million in capital calls.

I have the office budget as of September 31st --- September 30th, 2024. Are there any questions? All right.

So then I have some Board updates. So myself and the administrative assistant with the office visited the county jail on August 30th of 2024. We were there from 10:00 to 2:00. Estimates were run for jail employees and all retirement questions were answered. We had a very high attendance. So due to this attendance, we plan to schedule a follow up meeting, and the next meeting we're going to schedule from 2:00 to 6:00 for those who start after the 2:00 p.m. shift.

The Board President and myself visited the offices of Phase XIV on September 27th, 2024. Phase XIV staff are very knowledgeable, and they explained the continued function as our disability pension consultant. They answered all the questions that we had,

and I've included the prior year's contract just for your review. There is an option to review the contract for two years at the 2024 rates. We're not asking for any vote today, but just take a review on it. It'll be presented more than likely in the December meeting.

Also, we had talked about changing, so now that we have a transcript for the Board meetings, we're going to do an agenda synopsis rather than summary minutes. So the new format's in here for your review. If you have any questions or anything you would like to see changed on it, let us know. Otherwise, moving forward, we'll start posting that briefly after the meeting so that way it's on the website for everybody to take a look at.

And just an update on the retirement calculator, excuse me. It's now available to all court and county airport employees. So we had worked

13 1 through with their IT department. So 2 everybody, at least on the county 3 network now, should have access to 4 that. 5 CHAIR: 6 Is there anyone who 7 So it includes all the wouldn't? 8 groups in the pension plan? 9 MR. SZYMANSKI: 10 All the groups should be included now, yes. 11 12 CHAIR: 13 Okay. 14 And it's just that they need to be at a county computer? 15 16 MR. SZYMANSKI: 17 Correct. 18 CHAIR: And the retirement office 19 20 has a computer available in their office? 21 22 MR. SZYMANSKI: 23 We do. We have a kiosk 24 in our office, and then we'd offer to 25 help setup a kiosk anywhere else that

14 1 might need to be done. 2 CHAIR: 3 Thank you. 4 MR. SZYMANSKI: 5 You're welcome. And 6 that's all I have for that. 7 CHAIR: 8 I just jumped in on the 9 questions. Are there any other 10 questions for Walt? 11 MS. JOYCE: 12 I just have one question. 13 So that's for active employees. Are 14 the retirees able to use the kiosks if 15 they go to a physical location? MR. SZYMANSKI: 16 17 So as of right now, the 18 retirees, we're going to be working on 19 that portal next year. So, and we're 20 going to try to make an interactive 21 portal. They have to print award 22 letters, so forth. As of now, no.

MS. JOYCE:

It's just for running estimates for

active employees.

23

24

15 1 Okay, thanks. 2 CHAIR: 3 Once you have the ---4 what kinds of things will the future 5 retiree thing do? 6 MR. SZYMANSKI: 7 We're still in 8 discussions with the developer on that, 9 and I'll probably bring a presentation 10 in November, December ---11 CHAIR: 12 Okay. 13 MR. SZYMANSKI: 14 --- on what that would 15 look like and new costs that may be 16 involved with doing this. 17 CHAIR: 18 Thank you. 19 MR. SZYMANSKI: 20 You're welcome. 21 CHAIR: 22 Any other questions?  $N \circ .$ 23 Thank you, Walt. 24 MR. SZYMANSKI:

Thank you.

# 1 CHAIR:

Next, we have Brian
Gabriel, our solicitor.

### ATTORNEY GABRIEL:

Thank you, Erica. The solicitor's report is current as of October 10th. There is one action item, and it is referred to in the first item, in the solicitor's report, starting at page one and carrying over to page two. It is also the subject of an attachment, which is a memorandum from our class action counsel, Bernstein Litowitz Berger and Grossmann.

So the --- the request is for a motion to authorize BLB&G to represent the Allegheny County

Employees Retirement system and to seek lead plaintiff status in the securities section filed at Methode Electronics

Inc. in the United States District

Court for the Northern District of Illinois.

#### CHAIR:

1 CHAIR:

Next, we have, I prepared
a sign for this. Chris Brokaw and Tim
Walters from Mariner.

MR. BROKAW:

Thank you.

### CHAIR:

Did I do all right this

time? One out of three?

# MR. BROKAW:

11 One out of three. Well,

12 it ain't bad.

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So, if you turn to our tab in the book, page --- page 1 of 25 is a memo with a recommendation regarding maintaining liquidity in the portfolio for benefit payments. So, what we are recommending is liquidating the BlackRock liquid policy portfolio over the next several months. Really, it would be --- it would be approximately four months based on the current liquidity needs in the

portfolio. And also to authorize the

pension manager to ensure that there's

\$15 million available midmonth in the retirement fund by midmonth, every third Thursday of the month to pay for the pending --- pending benefit payments.

And also, we would report back on any transactions that --- that were made in the month to raise that liquidity. We would raise the liquidity from the portions of the portfolio that are overweight relative to the investment policy statement. Currently, there's about \$26 million in the BlackRock liquid policy portfolio. And again, that --- that investment would go to zero over approximately the next four months.

So, this recommendation really is a way to streamline the liquidity generation and authorize us to work together. That is, Tim and I to work together with the pension manager to make sure that the funds are available. So that is --- that is the recommendation that we're making.

20 1 MS. LIPTAK: 2 Question. 3 MR. BROKAW: 4 Yes. 5 MS. LIPTAK: Yeah? 6 7 CHAIR: 8 Yes. 9 MS. LIPTAK: 10 So, just want to --- this 11 is a change in process. Normally, when 12 we do a cash raise, the Board has to 13 approve every single cash raise. Is 14 this a process where you're asking for 15 us to not approve every cash raise and 16 just get reported to every cash raise? Because this is --- that's a very 17 18 different process than ---19 MR. BROKAW: 20 Yes. 21 MS. LIPTAK: 22 --- what we've done over 23 the years from auditing purposes. 24 MR. BROKAW: 25 Yeah.

# 21 MS. LIPTAK: 1 2 So I want to understand 3 more deeply. 4 MR. BROKAW: 5 Sure. 6 MS. LIPTAK: 7 I understand streamlining 8 the process because we need to raise 9 cash, but you know, every time we have 10 to vote on the cash raise, it's a 11 reminder of us of our funding position, 12 where we're taking the money from, et 13 cetera. Versus it being put into a 14 report that we have to search through 15 and not really have a conversation 16 about. 17 MR. BROKAW: 18 Sure. 19 MS. LIPTAK: 20 So, I guess I'm curious 21 as to why is the recommended shift? 22 MR. BROKAW: 23 Yeah. 24 MS. LIPTAK: 25 If it makes better sense

2.2 1 process wise because other funds do it 2 that way? 3 MR. BROKAW: 4 Right. 5 MS. LIPTAK: 6 Sure. I just want to 7 understand why this is a new recommendation. 8 9 MR. BROKAW: 10 Right. 11 MS. LIPTAK: 12 Because the standing 13 process, not to say it shouldn't be 14 changed, has always been capital raise. 15 We have to approve it by the Board. 16 So, can you just --- because if that's 17 what this is, this is a blanket 18 approval ---19 MR. BROKAW: 20 Sure. 21 MS. LIPTAK: 22 --- in perpetuity to 23 allow for you guys to raise cash. 24 MR. BROKAW: 25 That's ---.

## MS. LIPTAK:

Right? Yeah, okay.

# MR. BROKAW:

So, the current policy that's in --- that's in place, and --- and one that started when we started working with the Board with the liquid policy portfolio, was to have funds in the liquid policy portfolio so that we didn't have to come to every meeting and --- and get that approval.

In the current standing process and instructions that were approved by the Board was, or is, to have \$8 million in cash in midmonth.

And if there is any cash requirement, to take it from the liquid policy portfolio.

So, this change does two things. One, it moves that \$8 million to \$15 million, recognizing that over time, you --- you have had larger cash requirements, outflows from the portfolio. So that --- that moves that level from \$8 million to \$15 million.

2.4

And the second one is to allow the portfolio to be fully invested in all of the core investments in the portfolio and eliminate the requirement for this liquid policy portfolio.

So, it is a slight change. And the change is, instead of drawing all the funds from the liquid policy portfolio and maintaining exposure there, to take it from the individual managers that are over their target allocation. But yes, you are right. The current policy and --- and this recommendation does not require monthly Board approval for the --- for the liquidity raise.

#### MS. LIPTAK:

I do have --- I have a.

#### CHAIR:

Have a follow up.

# MS. LIPTAK:

That's, okay. So as a follow up, I guess, for just monitoring purposes, I think it's helpful when we go and we review our stuff. Walt very

25 1 diligently sends our things in advance 2 so we can look at it. 3 MR. BROKAW: 4 Right. 5 MS. LIPTAK: 6 We have our books, but I 7 would just ask if this is the --- where 8 we're going to move to that we have it 9 in the --- we have a report of it every 10 meeting. So then we can have just the 11 discussion or just another 12 acknowledgement of it, because that 13 movement is very vital to us 14 understanding our funding status. 15 MR. BROKAW: 16 Yeah. 17 MS. LIPTAK: 18 I --- I think so. 19 CHAIR: 20 Yeah. Oh, no, I --- I 21 agree. 22 MS. LIPTAK: 23 I would ask that we would 24 do that, not just have it in the book 25 for us to look at, like, incorporate it

1 as a monthly report of some sort. 2 That's, if the Board --- if the Board 3 chooses. 4 CHAIR: 5 Yeah. Is that --appreciate all these questions. 6 7 that --- is a report that looks 8 something like? I'm imagining maybe 9 it's a page that says, X was raised out 10 of the core investments from, like, 11 this amount, from these ones, and maybe 12 if they were over target or not? Ιs 13 that the kinds of information ---? 14 MR. BROKAW: 15 Yeah. 16 MS. LIPTAK: 17 You were going to put a 18 report in this anyway. That's ---19 that's basically it. 20 MR. BROKAW: 21 Yeah. 22 MS. LIPTAK: 23 I mean, it makes sense to 24 try to adjust where things are 25 overweighted. And again, that always

changes based upon how people --- how funds are doing and such, but I just think it's a good process for us to understand where the money's coming from and just have, like, an update on that, if that's okay. Because it's not like we're in a position where we have, you know, excess. We are very strategically now trying to take money out of places that make the most sense and add the most, or hold the most value within our funds.

So I just --- I just, I would just ask that. That it would be not just in the book for us to read it. It'd be as part of our monthly report, someone's report.

MR. BROKAW:

Explicit?

CHAIR:

Yeah.

MR. BROKAW:

Yes.

CHAIR:

Yeah. And --- and it

2.8

sounds like, does --- like, between now and the next meeting, Walt and Chris can discuss, like, what that reporting will look like and whose --- whose report it would in.

And I'm realizing we didn't put a motion for this on the agenda, so I think it would make sense to, like, give us a month so it's not, like, a surprise.

# MR. BROKAW:

Yeah.

# CHAIR:

And put a motion on next month's agenda to approve this proposal.

And I'll just --- sorry, are there any other questions? The, one of the reasons I asked Mariner to look at this is, my understanding is that some of the reasons this liquid policy portfolio was in place is that there was a period of time where there wasn't great cash management, and the Board was receiving very late requests.

And so, this was put in to react to not having, I think, the level of consulting that the plan needed. And as Mariner has shown with their --- with their reporting and their attention to things that --- that I think they have, like, shown their kind of wherewithal, and that this thing that was, I think, put in as a backstop for where we were a little short, isn't needed anymore.

## MS. LIPTAK:

I recall, and Walt would probably be the other person that's here would --- would remember this is, is that it was a smarter place to put the cash that started to come in from all the funds that we had in the alternative investments because we were starting to get more funds that made more sense to put them, make money off of them, and then use them instead of --- instead of selling off other investments. So it was part of a stronger cash management

system, I think. And we all thought it was a great idea, and I still think it's a very good idea. It was a good way to receive that cash back in and then use it for what we thought was best for the Board, so.

#### CHAIR:

Yeah. Yeah. And we were --- we were also, was it --- is it fair to say we were funding more capital calls at that point?

## MR. BROKAW:

Yeah. That we --- we had gone through, over the last decade, first, it was lots of capital calls.

And on a monthly basis, it was my understanding that, you know, sometimes it would be the week of the meeting, the day before the meeting, that there was, oh, we need \$3 million, \$5 million, something of that nature. And that's how we arrived at this solution.

Well, it went from lots of capital calls, money going out of the plan, to several years of \$20, \$30

million coming into the plan. And that's exactly what you're referencing, Ms. Liptak.

So right now, at least at the present time, there's not that kind of --- that kind of cash flow, but that --- that sum of the history and some of the reason for having that liquid policy portfolio is no longer --- no longer present. So, that's where we are.

### MR. WALTERS:

In addition to a decade plus low interest rate environment where money market funds weren't yielding anything. And so, now that we have above a four and a half percent yield on money market funds, you know, it warrants a relook.

# CHAIR:

And --- and what about in two years when we don't have four on money market funds? Like, will we be re-relooking at it?

#### MR. WALTERS:

```
I --- I think then the
1
2
      shift is you don't keep as high of a
3
      balance in a money market fund because
4
      it could be a potential drag on
5
      returns.
6
                     CHAIR:
7
                     Okay.
8
                     MR. WALTERS:
9
                     So, maybe not a reaction
10
      to add funds or re-add a liquid policy
11
      portfolio, but a reevaluation of the
12
      balance that you keep in the money
13
      market fund ---
14
                     CHAIR:
15
                     Okay.
16
                     MR. WALTERS:
17
                     --- versus being fully
18
      invested.
19
                     CHAIR:
20
                     Okay, thank you.
21
                     Are there any other
22
      questions?
23
                     MS. LIPTAK:
24
                     Thank you.
25
                     CHAIR:
```

All right.

2 What's next?

# MR. BROKAW:

All right.

Next item. Page 2 of 25, the emerging minority and women owned investment manager program. This, these are the guidelines that you have for the program with a couple of highlighted draft changes to them. So if you look at page 2 of 25, the slight changes are, one, the date, which, of course, we can advance forward to the --- to the next meeting or subsequent meeting. And the second one on this page is the implementation criteria.

The --- the item that's added there addresses adding new managers to this program and focuses on making sure that the plan is sufficiently funded before adding new managers to the program. So, that is really the one item that changes on pages 2 of 25 through 5 of 25. So, they're --- they're here for the

34 1 Board's review. 2 CHAIR: 3 Are there any questions? 4 So this is basically, and I'm realizing 5 we didn't --- sorry. Are there any 6 questions before I start talking? 7 Okay. I realized we didn't 8 9 state what the fund, what funded status 10 we'll be using. So that's. 11 MR. BROKAW: 12 Oh, 80 percent. 13 CHAIR: No, sorry. 14 15 MR. BROKAW: It's in here. 16 17 CHAIR: 18 Todd probably has, like, ten different funded statuses in his 19 20 report. 21 MR. BROKAW: 22 Oh, I see. 23 CHAIR: 24 So we --- we should ---25 we should choose, we should work with

the actuary to decide on which one we'll be looking at.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

### MR. BROKAW:

The specific language.

### CHAIR:

## MR. BROKAW:

Right.

## CHAIR:

And we are looking at I had heard, I think some, I this. know I feel this way. And I think there had been some member curiosity around having an emerging managers plan that invested these small amounts when our plan is so underfunded and looking to streamline a number of components. So that's why we were looking at it this way. I didn't pre-call anyone for this meeting, so this might be the first time folks are hearing it. So I'd just like to, if there's any discussion, we're happy to hear it now, or we can put this on a,

don't know if there's thoughts on if we want a motion on it today or if we want a motion on it next month. No strong opinions?

## MS. LIPTAK:

I think it would be helpful. I think this is great. I just, your point was very good. Which actual report status are we going to look at? Total funding? Like, which one. I think we need to clarify that as a Board because they are very different. I mean, you also have, you know, the controller's office also has a different mechanism for developing funding, so we should probably clarify that before. But I --- I think this is very good. I appreciate the work.

### CHAIR:

If anyone wants to make a motion, they can make a motion, or we'll put it on next month's agenda for a motion. Yeah, we're going to do it next month.

#### MR. BROKAW:

All right.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 6 of 25. So, in in our informal conversations before the meeting, a question came up, are the markets good? And the answer is The markets continue to perform well. Equity and fixed income, if you look on page six, this is through the end of September. The S&P was up over two percent. Small cap equity was up a bit under --- under one percent. International, about one percent. And emerging markets, best performing index, this is page six on the top left, at over six and a half percent, driven by some very strong performance in China.

One item of note, if you look at that Russell 1000 growth index, it's the fourth one down. And you see that --- that year to date, it's up 24 percent. And I know that we've mentioned before, this is the magnificent seven and all the --- all the large cap tech companies.

Interesting thing that happened in the last quarter is you see for the trailing three months, this Russell 1000 growth. So the third quarter was up three percent, and all of the other indices outperformed the Russell 1000 growth. So, some of the other parts of the market, small cap, midcap, international, performing better than growth. Growth had done very well for a long period of time. So diversification in the portfolio, having other investments, they may not have done it as well in 2023 or the to date period, but right now, some of those other investments are outperforming the large cap growth.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

income, the next line down, the broad bond market, US aggregate, was up 1.34 percent. And interest rates, interest rates declined all across the yield curve. Short term, long term, the Fed cut interest --- interest rates. And so, that led to positive performance

within the bond market. Now short term rates, if you look at the next set of numbers and the rates, the US three month treasury, 4.62 percent, so down from at the beginning of the year, 5.33 percent. So interest rates declining, helping the returns in fixed --- fixed income through the end of the quarter.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Now, when we look at --any questions on the market? Now when we look at your portfolio on page 9 of 25, and this data is through the end of August, you see year to date up almost --- almost six percent. And for the month of August, up one and a quarter. Some of the best performing parts of the market, in August for the month, non-US equity, so international stocks, and core bonds. So the third, or I'm sorry, the second asset class down from So, declining the top and the third. interest rates, which again continued through September, helping the bond market and as well the market broadening out, not just being the US

large cap growth leading to some other parts of the equity market showing --- showing strong performance.

Overall, when --- when we look at your portfolio, another item of note would be down towards the middle, the private real estate. You see in the year to date period, slight negative performance ahead of that index, which was down three percent. And over the past year, the index is down ten and your real estate investments, slightly negative, down 1.6. So, they are the part of the portfolio with the largest relative outperformance.

But again, you can see over the year to date and the trailing one year with the US equity market, up 17.9 for the year to date and 25.3 for the trailing year, really driving the positive portfolio performance, \$962 million. The top line, \$962,430,000, the value of the portfolio as of the end of August. Those are really all of

41 1 the prepared performance comments that 2 we had. Any questions on the 3 performance of your the portfolio? 4 CHAIR: 5 Okay, hearing none. 6 MR. BROKAW: 7 All right. 8 That is all the prepared 9 remarks that I had. We'd be happy to 10 answer any questions. Oh, page 17, 11 asset allocation. Again, the gray bars 12 are your investment policy statement 13 quidelines. The triangles are your 14 current allocation. And taking a look 15 at this, just to confirm that you are 16 within the investment policy ranges. 17 CHAIR: 18 And I feel like I asked about rebalancing ---19 20 MR. BROKAW: 21 Yeah. 22 CHAIR: 23 --- like, every month. 24 MR. BROKAW: 25 Yes.

# 1 CHAIR:

When, like when ---?

MR. BROKAW:

Yeah.

CHAIR:

When do we, say, take some out of US equity and put some in the high yield composite?

## MR. BROKAW:

Right. Great question.

CHAIR:

Thanks.

## MR. BROKAW:

And this --- this kind of goes into the first recommendation and --- and with rebalancing. I would --- I would point to the --- the lowest bar there, the last one, liquid policy portfolio. You see that's under target? A lot of that is invested in public stocks and bonds. It's invested in those markets through ETFs. So that really balances out, to a degree, the equity and core bond overweight. So, that's --- that is a large part of why

4.3

1 some of those triangles aren't on the 2 line. 3 Other --- other things, 4 when you look at infrastructure, real 5 estate, those are illiquid investments. So we are --- we are trying, with the 6 7 cash flows, to manage these over, over 8 and underweights. And that's, that's 9 really how, to the --- to a large 10 degree, how we move the portfolio from 11 an overweight position back to target. 12 Each month you use about \$6 million. 13 CHAIR: 14 Okay. 15 So each month, you're 16 right now, you're pulling the \$6 17 million out of the liquid policy?

MR. BROKAW:

Yes.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

## CHAIR:

And in the future, if we move to do the thing we discussed earlier?

MR. BROKAW:

Yes.

	44
1	CHAIR:
2	And you'd be taking out
3	of the overweights?
4	MR. BROKAW:
5	Absolutely, yes.
6	CHAIR:
7	But if you wanted to put
8	into an underweight, that would
9	would the Board have to approve that?
10	MR. BROKAW:
11	Yes.
12	CHAIR:
13	Okay.
14	MR. BROKAW:
15	Yes.
16	<u>CHAIR:</u>
17	And so, sorry. You
18	started your comments with the liquid
19	policy portfolio is?
20	MR. BROKAW:
21	Yeah. So, the black bar
22	is the target. So, the liquid policy
23	portfolio is under its target, and the
24	offsetting over target weights are in
25	the equity and core fixed income.

	45
1	CHAIR:
2	And that's and are
3	those just the three? I imagine that
4	the liquid policy portfolio was
5	something, like, the whole thing except
6	for the liquid investments?
7	MR. BROKAW:
8	The illiquid parts, yeah.
9	CHAIR:
10	0 k a y .
11	MR. BROKAW:
12	So it does it does
13	have have a portion of high yield
14	investments in it as well.
15	CHAIR:
16	0 k a y .
17	MR. BROKAW:
18	Yes.
19	CHAIR:
20	Okay, thank you.
21	MR. BROKAW:
22	Sure. Thank you.
23	CHAIR:
24	Any other questions?
25	Thank you, Mariner.

# MR. BROKAW:

2 Thank you.

## CHAIR:

Next up, we have Ed Boyer from Asset Strategy.

## MR. BOYER:

Thank you. Good morning, everyone, or good afternoon. Pardon me.

report, and we're going to start on our section here about the PME, public market equivalents. We'll go to page two and three. And so, this accounts for all of your partnership holdings. And there's a snapshot in time about the performance of the funds as well as the index. Excuse me. The first column there is the name of the fund, the net IRRs. You go, the index is next. And those returns of the index are in the fourth column. And the outperformance then is in the fifth column.

So this report does not

need to be shown every --- every meeting. I mean, twice a year would really be sufficient. They just don't move that quickly to, you know, to be measurable or significant, and you can't get out of them. So, you know, it's not like there's an action item to be taken up.

But it's probably more important to, directionally to know where you're getting value. And on page three, the outperformance is, in our view, not been satisfactory. You can see in the outperformance column down in the bottom row, it's .93. And it would have been our goal at the outset to have this at least 300 to 500 basis points over the index. As you know, not all of the --- a lot of these funds were in place before we arrived to make some adjustments to the whole program.

Any questions on these before we go to, let's see. The next page are the funds.

## 48 1 CHAIR: 2 I appreciate you adding 3 that locally sourced fund column. So 4 that would indicate that it came, like, 5 at the recommendation of a Board member 6 or what is locally sourced fund? 7 MR. BOYER: Well ---. 8 9 CHAIR: 10 How do you indicate that? 11 MR. BOYER: 12 So, it's one part, and 13 I'm not being acute about this, we 14 didn't source it. It came in the door. 15 It came either through the office or 16 through a Board member or a 17 relationship that someone had. When we 18 arrived ---. 19 CHAIR: 20 But, and you arrived in 21 2008? Or when did you arrive? Sorry, 22 because there's some vintage years that 23 would have been from before you. 24 MR. BOYER:

So --- so the first fund

25

that we made an investment in would have been 2013.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIR:

Okay.

# MR. BOYER:

And of course, when we arrived, like for example, hang on. Let me find the name here. The real estate fund had a lot of the holdings, so that would have been Bowstone. was named something else at the time. So Bowstone was already in the portfolio, and you know, there was a relationship there that, getting additional allocations. Then, you know, there are others that were of the same nature. So, like, I'm not trying to have you jump around, but if you just look on, let's see. It would be the first unnamed page or unnumbered page. And that list, I'll come back and answer your question in just a moment, Erica.

CHAIR:

Okay.

## MR. BOYER:

1

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 But that lists them in 3 order. And we can tell that, you know, we did not do Adams or Goldman Sachs. 4 5 The first one we did there would have 6 been Pharos and Constitution Capital. 7 BlackStone came through a relationship 8 at the Board level, and that's sort of 9 how we aggregate it and look at it. 10 I'll give you a better picture in just a moment, but to be clear, if I don't 11 12 mind asking you to drop back to page 13 five. So these means that it was our 14 investment team that identified and 15 presented and did the due diligence 16 these partnerships.

#### CHAIR:

On the page five

partnerships?

## MR. BOYER:

Page five.

#### CHAIR:

So, page five

partnerships are ones you all found and brought to us?

51 1 MR. BOYER: 2 Right. 3 CHAIR: 4 And we invested in? 5 MR. BOYER: Uh-huh. 6 7 MS. LIPTAK: I, if I could add 8 9 something to that? 10 CHAIR: 11 Yeah. 12 MS. LIPTAK: 13 So, I recall a lot of 14 them were here. I got here in, like, 15 mid '08, and there was a lot of 16 confusion, at least for people like me, 17 as to where, how these funds were being 18 managed. And our existing manager at 19 the time who did the work that 20 Mariner's doing now. 21 MR. BOYER: 22 So that was Wilshire? 23 MS. LIPTAK: 24 Wilshire did not provide 25 any management of these overall

1 oversight. And because they said they 2 didn't have that within, this is me 3 speaking, not them, but I'm surmising. 4 They didn't have a specialty in there. 5 So, some of us Board members, including 6 myself, felt like we need to have 7 someone that knows what they're doing 8 because these are complicated 9 investments. So an RFP process went 10 out and that's where Asset Strategies 11 came in to try to organize and 12 coordinate some of these. 13 And so, you know. But a 14 lot of these were here before I got 15 here. I'm just going to say that, 16 like. 17 CHAIR: 18 Thank you. 19 MR. BOYER: 20 Yes, there were. 21 MS. LIPTAK: 22 Yes. They were here 23 before I got here. 24 MR. BOYER: 25 And --- and not to go on

The outperformance from our too long. funds, you can see there under that column is ten percent, or 1,000 basis points. So that would be a success by any definition. And what we did, in addition to what your comments related to Wilshire when you arrived, Jennifer, is we made smaller allocations. of this was to, as part of the education process to the Board, to realize that these strategies, you know, were executed, implemented differently. And that by doing that, we would create more variability in the realizations of the investments.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And, because as you're seeing now throughout the portfolio, you can't control them. And you never know what the environment is to which you want, the managers want to exit. So, by having more partnerships with different strategies, we increase the, or hopefully, optimize the cash coming back.

#### MS. LIPTAK:

1 Can I say something else 2 for the record? I do not know which 3 funds were locally sourced, so I'm just 4 going to say that. So, whatever was brought to us, I have no idea if they 5 6 were whatever you're calling locally 7 sourced or not. They were just brought 8 to us with a recommendation. So, I 9 just wanted to make that clear. Okay, 10 thank you. 11 CHAIR: 12 Thank you. And did all 13 --- so they would ---? 14 MS. <u>LIPTAK:</u> 15 They would come through. 16 CHAIR: 17 Through and ---? 18 MS. LIPTAK: 19 They would come through 20 the. 21 MR. BOYER: 22 We would, yeah. 23 MS. LIPTAK: 24 Yeah. But I don't know

which, like, I couldn't tell you.

25

Like, I'm guessing now. Obviously, you can see them here, but as a Board member at the time, if some of these were brought forward, I wouldn't know because I never locally sourced anyone.

## CHAIR:

Right.

## MS. LIPTAK:

I mean, I just --- so I just wanted to clarify that for myself on the record.

## MR. BOYER:

And it's not reasonable
to expect you to remember from the deck
where the address was exactly. So, you
know, it's just not reasonable at all.
But there are clearly some that are
local. You know, Draper Triangle was.
They changed the name to Magarac.
iNetworks, another one.

#### CHAIR:

So were they both local firms and provided by?

## MR. BOYER:

Yes.

56 1 CHAIR: 2 Someone that didn't 3 initially come out of your firm? Ιs 4 that --- that's what you're saying? 5 MR. BOYER: Right, yeah. 6 7 CHAIR: Ok. 8 9 MR. BOYER: 10 Along with ---. 11 MS. JOYCE: 12 So how do we make that 13 process more transparent moving 14 forward? 15 MS. LIPTAK: Well, I --- I think 16 that's the plan. 17 18 MS. JOYCE: 19 Right. 20 MS. LIPTAK: 21 But what I'm saying is 22 someone that was here and I, Sarah's 23 been here a long time. I don't know that, and I never locally sourced 24 25 anyone.

22

23

24

25

## CHAIR:

2 Yeah. And so maybe, 3 maybe it's when Mariner is bringing us 4 a recommendation, we, they provide us 5 with indication of either this is a ---6 it's a thing our team --- it's a thing 7 our team came up with, or it's so and 8 so, right? Like, I was at an event 9 yesterday. There were some private 10 equity people talking. If I thought one was swell and I sent them their 11 12 way, they would disclose, like, so and 13 so Board member, so and so individual, 14 like, brought this one to our 15 attention, and then we did our due 16 diligence so that Board members can be 17 clear about the process to bring it 18 front of the Board. 19 Is that a thing? It 20 sounds like that's a thing we should 21 add. And is that a thing Mariner can

d o ?

MR. BROKAW:

(814) 536 - 8908

Yes.

CHAIR:

58 Just, like, indicate 1 2 where it came from? Okay. 3 MR. BROKAW: 4 Yes. In fact, I think 5 we'll be required to do that. 6 CHAIR: 7 Just because of that's 8 how you operate? 9 MR. BROKAW: 10 Yes. 11 CHAIR: 12 Okay. 13 MR. BROKAW: 14 Yes. 15 CHAIR: 16 Are there --- I think 17 this is a useful discussion. Are there 18 any other questions or concerns on this 19 area? 20 MR. BOYER: 21 Okay. 22 CHAIR: 23 Going. 24 MR. BOYER: 25 And then the final three

pages that do not have numbers on them are the list of the entire group of buyouts, growth equity, private debt, real estate secondaries that were in the portfolio. And it's --- it's a summary of all the funds aggregated.

And you know, so to the extent that it's helpful to Chris or Mariner, you know, to look at ideas that are already there that have done exceptionally well, you know, feel free to, you know, use them. We don't have any rights to them at all.

And then just from a strategy point of view, the secondary market and opportunities are quite compelling. So we would, and not only that, but they have a shorter lifecycle, so you get distributions more quickly, and that also helps with your cash flow. And you're able to buy them at a considerable discount.

## CHAIR:

All right

Thank you. Are there any

60 1 questions, or do you all need a second? 2 Okay, okay. 3 Any other questions for 4 Ed?  $N \circ .$ Thank you. 5 MR. BOYER: Thank you. 6 7 CHAIR: 8 Thank you, Ed. 9 MR. BOYER: 10 It's been a pleasure 11 working for you. 12 CHAIR: 13 Thank you, Ed. 14 MR. BOYER: 15 You're welcome. 16 CHAIR: 17 Appreciate your candor. 18 MR. BOYER: 19 Well, we hope we deliver 20 value for your beneficiaries. 21 CHAIR: Thank you. And then, any 22 23 new business? Is that me? Oh, it was 24 my --- it was my microphone. 25 Our next meeting will be

61 held on November 21st, 2024. And can I 1 2 have a motion to adjourn? 3 MR. BOYER: 4 Well, just ---. 5 CHAIR: Oh, yeah. 6 7 MR. BOYER: Just one final point. 8 9 We're working with our ops people, with 10 the ops people at Mariner to get all 11 the information exchanged. 12 CHAIR: 13 Great. 14 MR. BOYER: 15 You know, as quickly and 16 fluidly as possible. 17 CHAIR: 18 Thank you. We appreciate 19 20 MR. BOYER: 21 Sure. 22 CHAIR: 23 --- your work during this 24 transition. 25 MR. BOYER:

	62
1	You're welcome.
2	CHAIR:
3	Awesome. Anyone want to
4	adjourn?
5	MS. JOYCE:
6	Motion.
7	CHAIR:
8	Yeah, let's go. Have a
9	great day.
10	* * * * * *
11	MEETING CONCLUDED AT 12:42 P.M.
12	* * * * * *
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

#### CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify, as the stenographic reporter, that the foregoing proceedings were taken stenographically by me, and thereafter reduced to typewriting by me or under my direction; and that this transcript is a true and accurate record to the best of my ability.

Dated the 7th day of November, 2024

Court Reporter