COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA RETIREMENT BOARD OF ALLEGHENY COUNTY

* * * * * * * * *

PUBLIC MEETING

* * * * * * * * *

BEFORE: ERICA ROCCHI BRUSSELARS,

Chair

Ted Puzak, Member

Corey O'Connor, Member

Frank Dicristofaro, Member

Sara Innamorato, Member

Jennifer Liptak, Member

Sarah Roka, Member

HEARING: Thursday, April 18, 2024

12:15 p.m.

LOCATION: Allegheny County Courthouse

4th Floor, Gold Room

436 Grant Street

Pittsburgh, PA 15219

WITNESSES: Chris Brokaw, Tim Walters,

Ed Boyer, Perry Giovannelli,

Reporter: Chelsea Curry

Any reproduction of this transcript

is prohibited without authorization

by the certifying agency.

		3
1	I N D E X	
2		
3	DISCUSSION AMONG PARTIES	5 - 75
4	CERTIFICATE	7 6
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

			4
1		E X H I B I T S	
2			
3			Page
4	<u>Number</u>	Description	Offered
5		NONE OFFERED	
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

		6
1	Here.	
2	MR. SZYMANSKI:	
3	Cory O'Connor?	
4	MR. O'CONNOR:	
5	Here.	
6	MR. SZYMANSKI:	
7	Sarah Innamorato?	
8	MS. INNAMORATO:	
9	Here.	
10	MR. SZYMANSKI:	
11	Frank DiCristofaro?	
12	MR. DICRISTOFARO:	
13	Here.	
14	MR. SZYMANSKI:	
15	Jennifer Litpak?	
16	MS. LIPTAK:	
17	Here.	
18	MR. SZYMANSKI:	
19	Sarah Roka?	
20	MS. ROKA:	
21	Here.	
22	MR. SZYMANSKI:	
23	Erica Brusselars.	
24	CHAIR BRUSSELARS:	
25	Present.	

	7
1	The next item on the
2	agenda oh, sorry. Is there any
3	public comment?
4	MR. SZYMANSKI:
5	No public comment.
6	CHAIR BRUSSELARS:
7	Seeing no public
8	comment, the next item is the Board
9	approvals.
10	The first is the Board
11	minutes from March.
12	MR. O'CONNOR:
13	So moved.
14	MR. DICRISTOFARO:
15	Second.
16	CHAIR BRUSSELARS:
17	Is there any discussion
18	about the Board minutes? Hearing no
19	discussion sorry. There's a
20	motion in a second, hearing no
21	discussion. All in favor of approving
22	the Board minutes?
23	AYES RESPOND
24	CHAIR BRUSSELARS:
25	The next item is the

1 financial statements. Reports were 2 generated by the comptroller's office 3 as of February 29th of 2024. 4 MR. O'CONNOR: 5 So moved. 6 MR. DICRISTOFARO: 7 Second. 8 CHAIR BRUSSELARS: 9 Is there --- hearing a 10 motion and second, is there any 11 discussion? Hearing no discussion all 12 in favor of approving the financial 13 statements, please say aye. 14 AYES RESPOND 15 CHAIR BRUSSELARS: 16 Any opposed? The last item is invoices, and there are none. 17 18 The next item is 19 applications for retirement. In April 20 2024 there were 10 voluntary, 21 full retire --- sorry, 10 early, voluntary, 21 22 21 full retirement, and 2 payment plan 23 extensions. 24 Do I have a motion to 25 approve these applications?

9 1 MS. ROKA: 2 So moved. 3 MR. DICRISTOFARO: Second. 4 5 CHAIR BRUSSELARS: 6 Is there any discussion 7 about the 2024 retirement 8 applications? Hearing no discussion, 9 all in favor for approving the April 10 24th retirement application --- April 11 2024 retirement applications, please 12 say aye. 13 AYES RESPOND 14 CHAIR BRUSSELARS: 15 Any opposed? Motion 16 passes. Next, we have reports. First 17 up is the manager report. Walt 18 Szymanski. 19 MR. SZYMANSKI: 20 Thank you very much. 21 CHAIR BRUSSELARS: 22 You're microphone isn't 23 working. 24

(WHEREUPON, AN OFF RECORD DISCUSSION

25

10 1 WAS HELD.) 2 3 MR. SZYMANSKI: 4 All right. 5 Can you hear me now? 6 Good. If you turn to the manager's 7 tab in your book, I'll highlight some 8 areas for the dashboard. Retiree, 9 payroll was up 8 percent for the 10 month. Contributions made were up 11 9.75 percent. Total retirements for 12 the year, up 29.63 percent. If you 13 turn to the next page, pension estimates were up 3.28 percent. 14 15 Capital calls as of the prior board 16 meeting were \$101,581. Are there any questions on any of the dashboard 17 18 items? The office budget is 19 20 listed there as of March 31st, 2024. 21 Any questions on the budget? 22 CHAIR BRUSSELARS: 23 Can I go back to the

24 dashboard item?

25

MR. SZYMANSKI:

11 1 Yes. 2 CHAIR BRUSSELARS: 3 Under the investments, 4 would it be possible in the future to 5 also include disbursements from our 6 private equity holdings? Like, we 7 have capital calls. I'm wondering if 8 we could have a sort of outflow item 9 that was disbursements from private 10 equity? 11 MR. SZYMANSKI: 12 Yeah, we can add 13 something in. 14 CHAIR BRUSSELARS: 15 Okay. Thank you. 16 MR. SZYMANSKI: 17 You're welcome. Okay. 18 If there's no other 19 questions. I have three items for 20 approval this month. 21 The first would be 22 requesting the Board to approve the 23 election timeline, the policies and 24 procedures for the upcoming 2024 board

25

member election.

1 CHAIR BRUSSELARS: 2 Do we have --- do we 3 have a motion to approve the election 4 timeline and policies and procedures? 5 MR. O'CONNOR: 6 So moved. 7 MR. DICRISTOFARO: 8 I'll make a motion. 9 MR. O'CONNOR: 10 Second. 11 CHAIR BRUSSELARS: 12 Hearing a motion and 13 second, are there --- is there any discussion? Hearing no discussion, 14 15 all in favor of approving the election 16 timeline, policies and procedures for 17 the 2024 board election, please say 18 aye. 19 AYES RESPOND 20 CHAIR BRUSSELARS: 21 Any opposed? Motion 22 passes. 23 MR. SZYMANSKI: 24 Okay. 25 Next, I have a request

1.3 1 to approve the nominating packet for 2 the 2024 election. The reason we're 3 asking for the approval on this, this 4 year is there was an addition to the 5 packet, adding a line for whoever 6 circulates the petition. 7 MR. O'CONNOR: 8 So moved. 9 MR. PUZAK: 10 Second. 11 CHAIR BRUSSELARS: 12 Hearing, a motion and a 13 second, is there any discussion? 14 MS. INNAMORATO: 15 What was that, that you 16 said? What was the change? 17 MR. SZYMANSKI: 18 There was a line added 19 for if someone other than the member 20 running for the Board seat circulates 21 a petition for signatures, that person 22 needs to also sign that. 23 CHAIR BRUSSELARS: 24 Any other discussion? 25 Hearing no discussion, all in favor to

	14
1	approve the nominating petitions for
2	the 2024 board member election, please
3	say aye.
4	AYES RESPOND
5	CHAIR BRUSSELARS:
6	Any opposed? Motion
7	passes.
8	MR. SZYMANSKI:
9	Thank you. And lastly
10	is the Board's request and the
11	approval of the Board's acceptance and
12	approval of the 2023 audited financial
13	statements. These are from Case
14	Sabatini and the schedules.
15	If approved, the
16	statements get posted to the website.
17	The schedules are just for the Board.
18	MR. O'CONNOR:
19	So moved.
20	MR. DICRISTOFARO:
21	Second.
22	CHAIR BRUSSELARS:
23	Hearing a motion and a
24	second for accepting the audited
25	financials from Case Sabatini. Is

1 | there any discussion?

2 Hearing no discussion.

3 All in favor of approving the 2023

4 audited financial statements and

5 | schedules from Case Sabatini, please

6 say aye.

7 AYES RESPOND

CHAIR BRUSSELARS:

Any opposed? The motion

passes.

8

9

11

12

13

19

MR. SZYMANSKI:

All right. Thank you.

There's also --- the

14 assistant manager's report is in

15 there, if there's any questions for

16 | Carol, she gives updates on just

17 | qeneral office items that have been

18 going on over the last quarter.

CHAIR BRUSSELARS:

I had one question,

21 | actually. I already got cleared up.

22 | This mentions that there will be an

23 open house in the retirement office on

24 June 6.

MR. SZYMANSKI:

16 1 Yes. 2 CHAIR BRUSSELARS: 3 And I hear that's from 4 10:00 to 2:00 if anybody wants to stop 5 on by. I had a question about 6 7 in office update. Well, in office 8 updates, I'd like to welcome Ramona, 9 our newest employee in the retirement 10 office. I might have stolen Walt's thunder. 11 12 MR. SZYMANSKI: 13 No, you're good. 14 CHAIR BRUSSELARS: 15 You might have had that 16 on ---. So could you wave? She's now working in the retirement office, 17 18 helping on the customer service 19 retiree facing front. So welcome. 20 On the third bullet, 21 under office updates, it says, staff 22 met with City Co. What service did 23 City Co provide us? 24 MR. SZYMANSKI:

That one should work.

25

17 1 No, you could take it. 2 MS. UMINSKI: 3 Our members have the 4 ability to have a deduction made 5 through City Co, and City Co has changed their processes, and we're 6 7 working with them to upgrade all the 8 deductions that would come in by 9 spreadsheet to actually direct deposit 10 and change them over to an ACH debit. 11 MS. LIPTAK: A credit union. 12 13 MS. UMINSKI: 14 Credit --- yes. 15 CHAIR BRUSSELARS: 16 City county credit 17 union. 18 MS. LIPTAK: 19 I'm going to interpret 20 City Co as City County. 21 CHAIR BRUSSELARS: 22 Thank you. Thank you. 23 Got it. I appreciate that. Okay. 24 Thank you. 25 MR. SZYMANSKI:

18 1 Thank you very much. 2 CHAIR BRUSSELARS: 3 Did you have anything 4 else? Okay. 5 MR. SZYMANSKI: That's all I have. 6 7 CHAIR BRUSSELARS: 8 Oh, no. I had one more 9 question for Carol. In the Duncan 10 Financial dashboard, it had, like, 11 higher volumes of everything in the 12 first half of last year than in the 13 second half. Is that --- was there 14 more stuff offered, or do we just see 15 changes over time of who's taking 16 things? 17 MS. UMINSKI: 18 I think it changes over 19 time. We do --- we hit heavily the 20 Medicare and Social Security, and we 21 do have a lot of employees that attend 22 those regularly because it is such a 23 difficult item to maneuver through. 24 But I think --- you 25 know, we've been talking with them on

1 different strategies on how to reach 2 different employees. We've been doing 3 it as an HR blast, but we would like 4 to maybe target different departments 5 and have a little bit more support from department managers to do these 6 7 sessions as well. So we're looking at 8 ways to increase that.

CHAIR BRUSSELARS:

Thank you. Oh, here we go. That concludes the manager's report, unless I get a frantic wave from anybody.

MR. PUZAK:

Any other questions?

CHAIR BRUSSELARS:

Oh, yeah. Any other

18 questions for Walt? No questions.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. SZYMANSKI:

Thank you very much.

CHAIR BRUSSELARS:

Thank you. Next up, we

have our solicitor, Brian Gabriel.

ATTORNEY GABRIEL:

Thank you, Erica.

2.0

The solicitor's report is current as of April 11, 2024. One mention at number 8A, which is on page ten of the report, there will be a draft resolution circulated to the Board in the near future, that just pertains to internal revenue code compliance provisions.

So for any pension plan, including this one, of course, it makes sense to have updated regulations that from time to time remind people that these are the IRC compliance provisions that this plan applies. So that's a little more of a housekeeping type resolution, but that will be circulated, I think, ahead of the next meeting.

CHAIR BRUSSELARS:

I know some folks are looking --- I was looking around in my packet for this. Sorry, the solicitor's report's in the extra addendum. The confidential addendum.

what you said? Because I know I wasn't paying full attention and I think I might not have been the only one.

ATTORNEY GABRIEL:

I had the same problem. I was ripping open THE package just a minute ago. So number 8A refers to a resolution that will be a --- that is a draft resolution that pertains to internal revenue code compliance and that will be circulated to the Board.

I was saying, basically it's more of a housekeeping matter.

It just makes sense from time to have updated regulations that identify with current numbers and language which code provisions sometimes come into play and are required for code compliance.

Outside of that, I don't have any particular items to bring to the Board's attention, but I'd be happy to answer any questions.

CHAIR BRUSSELARS:

1 There was an item 7D 2 about a repayment and it had a 3 scheduled payment as of March 31st, 4 but no indication if that payment had 5 happened or not. 6 ATTORNEY GABRIEL: 7 Yes, and that payment 8 has not happened. We've been in 9 contact with the attorney who 10 represents the individual, so I didn't 11 put it in be maybe cautiously 12 optimistic that it would have happened 13 by now. But since we're here and you 14 asked, it has not happened yet. 15 CHAIR BRUSSELARS: 16 Okay. I think in the future, 17 18 if it --- if it hasn't happened in by 19 the. By the time you're issuing the 20 report and it's late, if you could 21 note it, that would be great. 22 ATTORNEY GABRIEL: 23 Absolutely. 24 CHAIR BRUSSELARS: And then there was a 25

yeah, no, that's not one. I think that's it for me.

Any other questions?

Thank you. Thank you. Next up is Mr.

Brokaw and Mr. Walters from Mariner

welcoming a new consulting firm to the front desk.

MR. BROKAW:

Thank you. Thank you very much. And we're blue instead of green. Everything else remains the same. If you look at the report, it comes from the same reporting system. Tim and I haven't changed. We had to get pictures. And the pictures just affirm that I'm 20 years older than I thought I was. But other than that, everything's the same.

So when you look at the first page of the report labeled

Mariner at the top, this is the update of the market through March. And the takeaway here is that the quarter was a very good quarter. If you look at the top left, the S and P 500 was up

2.4

1 over 10.5 percent year to date, and 2 that is the best first quarter since 3 2019. 4 So the stock market 5 through the end of March had continued 6 to perform very well. Other indices, 7 like Small Cap Russell 2000 up 5 8 percent. MSC IFA International stocks 9 up almost six percent for the quarter. 10 Interest rates bumped up 11 a little bit so you see in fixed 12 income negative returns in the year to 13 date period, the aggregate broad 14 market index was down 0.78 percent. 15 So a little less than a percent for

18 February.
19 And if you look at page

the first quarter. Now, when we look

at your portfolio, the data is through

CHAIR BRUSSELARS:

22 Are we --- okay, thank

23 you.

four ---

16

17

20

21

MR. BROKAW:

--- at the top, the

1 total fund performance through

2 February. For the month of February,

3 the fund was up 1.27 percent and year

4 to date, 1.03 percent. If you look at

5 the contributors, first, us equity.

6 | Us equity continues to march upwards.

However, it's not as narrowly driven.

So you may have heard

9 mention magnificent seven stocks

10 driving the S&P 500 and the US stock

11 market over the last number of

12 | quarters and even years. The market

13 | is broadening a bit now. So it's not

14 just those seven stocks, but the other

15 493 in the S&P 500 and also small and

16 | midcap performing relatively well.

Non-U.S. performance not

18 quite as good as the U.S. market, but

19 | still strong positive performance for

20 | your portfolio. And also the market

21 in general.

7

8

22 Growth and value

23 investments performing well in the

24 | year-to-date period, the portfolio up

25 | 1.78 percent year-to-date. Core fixed

2.6

income down, as I mentioned, because of the rise interest rates. But your portfolio has a bit less volatility.

There are some investments that are not as long term, so when the interest rates go up, they protect the portfolio. So the market was down, your portfolio down not as much.

In high yield some of the more volatile, more risky holdings outperformed and your portfolio has limited exposure to those. Your portfolio is a bit safer and rated more highly than the index. So for the year-to-date period, it's essentially flat. The index marginally positive.

Real estate relative

performance of your portfolio you see

in the year-to-date period, it's

essentially flat and in line with the

index over the trailing year. You

see, although real estate was

negative, your portfolio eight percent

plus ahead of it.

1 What is in the market 2 and not in your portfolio is a lot of 3 office exposure. So you know, just 4 generic office space with people 5 working from home more, et cetera. 6 Those holdings not performing as well, 7 your portfolio avoiding those 8 investing in other parts of the 9 market. 10 Infrastructure you see 11 over the year-to-date period, some 12 negative performance versus the index. 13 That's due to higher financing costs 14 in the portfolio as interest rates 15 have risen. And also over the past 16 year, you see performance eight 17 percent versus the index six percent. 18 Some of the markups in the portfolio 19 got a bit ahead of the market.

A lot of the infrastructure is driven by volumes, ports, airports, toll roads, et cetera. They've performed relatively well over the past year recovering from COVID.

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
1
                    Private equity I'll
2
     leave to ED with the next section of
3
     reporting and the liquid policy
4
     portfolio performing in line with its
5
     benchmarks. Any questions on
     portfolio performance? Okay.
6
                                      The
7
     other page that I draw your attention
8
     to is page 13 and the asset
9
     allocation, portfolio allocation in
10
     line with the investment policy.
11
                    Due to some of the
12
     growth in the portfolio, especially in
13
     equity at the top, you see that the
14
     equity has grown beyond its targets.
15
     At this point liquid policy portfolio
16
     at the bottom, as benefit payments are
17
     paid out of the portfolio, that's
18
     coming down.
19
                    And one comment I wanted
20
     to make about infrastructure, the
21
     third line up from the bottom. That
22
     does pay out income, the one
23
     investment quarterly and the other one
24
     semiannually. So that should draw
```

down that over allocation over time as

25

1 | it pays out the income.

2 So no recommended action

3 in the portfolio at this time. Any

questions on the allocation?

CHAIR BRUSSELARS:

Remind me. So you don't

need board approval to move from the

liquid policy into cash.

Is that right?

MR. BROKAW:

That is correct, yes.

CHAIR BRUSSELARS:

13 Thank you. I don't have

any other questions. Okay.

MR. PUZAK:

16 What's going on with

17 inflation?

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

MR. BROKAW:

Well, I don't know, how

20 was gas when you came in? What did

21 you pay?

22 So I mean, the larger

23 question is --- and maybe I said

24 | something similar at the last meeting.

25 So at the end of 2023, expectations

were inflation was going down, interest rates going down, everyone happy. That continued for a bit in the first quarter, but now it's kind of leveled off.

At the end of last year, expectation was six interest rate cuts because of the falling inflation. Now it's maybe there'll be two in 2024 and maybe even zero. That's leading to some added volatility in the equity market as well as the bond market, which, unless something dramatic changes, we'll see at the end of April, the markets have been a bit up and down.

MR. PUZAK:

So what is inflation directly responsible for? Is it rent or food or what's going on?

MR. BROKAW:

Yeah, that's a great question. One of the biggest factors in how the inflation is calculated is shelter, so that's rent. And also a

number that they use in their own government way to make a calculation that says how much homeowners are experiencing inflation. That's an area that is not coming down as quickly as policymakers want it to. The rent and the shelter component of it, which is about a third of the overall index.

So that's the part that is sticky. So we're at about three percent, they want two percent. And you know, I don't know, the analogy that I've heard people say is, it's like when you're on a diet, right? If you want to lose --- you've never been on a diet. You're thin and trim.

But if you've ever been on a diet, you know, the first ten pounds is a lot easier than the last ten pounds. So once you get to --- you know, we were at nine percent, came down to three. That last one percent is the tricky, difficult part.

MR. PUZAK:

	32
1	Thanks.
2	MR. BROKAW:
3	Thank you.
4	CHAIR BRUSSELARS:
5	Okay.
6	Then I do have one
7	question. What is this picture on the
8	second page? Like, we couldn't tell
9	if it was our printer or if that's
10	what it really looks like. When you
11	were saying you were 20 years too old,
12	I thought it might have been that
13	picture.
14	MR. BROKAW:
15	Tim.
16	MR. WALTERS:
17	When you are acquired by
18	a larger firm, there are sometimes
19	decisions that are outside your
20	control.
21	CHAIR BRUSSELARS:
22	That's what I imagine.
23	MR. WALTER:
24	I believe the cover art
25	is one of those decisions that we will

33 1 consistently lose. But I think we are 2 all in the same camp of what were they 3 thinking? 4 CHAIR BRUSSELARS: 5 Thank you. 6 Was that everything from 7 Mariner? 8 MR. BROKAW: 9 I'll just conclude 10 that's not a misprint. That is how 11 it's supposed to look, yes. 12 MR. WALTERS: 13 But it is an affirmation 14 that the data between the absurd cover 15 and end page is absolutely the same. 16 CHAIR BRUSSELARS: Thank you. 17 18 MR. BROKAW: 19 Thank you. 20 CHAIR BRUSSELARS: 21 Next up, we have Mr. 22 Boyer from asset strategy with our 23 private equity portfolio. 24 MR. BOYER: 25 Thank you. Good

afternoon, everyone. Good to see you. So as you can tell, we've got a little more information in our files and packets, folders, et cetera. At this time, we're not going to have to necessarily touch on each page, but if you'll kindly turn to the folder that reports asset strategy, I'll start there.

All right.

So what you'll see there in the upper right-hand corner is referring to March 31st, 2024, and the statistical information and the managers there on the left. Because it's March 31st, we don't have all the data in yet. It's still flowing in because of audits, and fund to funds will take even longer. But that is a snapshot of the data that we had at that time we were printing and collecting this.

The more meaningful information will be shown on some other pages here in just a moment.

All right.

Then, if we can --- I'm sorry, the pages aren't numbered.

This is the first time we've had such a collection of paper and reports in the file. I'll work more closely with Walter to see that we get these numbered in the future, if we can just turn three more pages or four more pages in, you'll see December 31st, 2023, and evaluations there.

And likewise, we're still waiting for some fund to fund information to come in. But that's what we had again at the time we went to print. Fund to funds take longer because there's an extra layer of managers that you have to collect data on, and it just takes a while. As you may recall, the audits for these funds really won't come in until August.

All right.

If we could turn ahead a little bit more until you see the single page in smaller print that

talks about the expenses. Retirement Board of Allegheny County and the two columns to the right, we're talking about management fees paid and the carried interest that has been paid as well.

This is going to be effective as of the end of December coming from the audits. So you can see there are a number of blanks there on the carried interest. So we're still waiting for that information to come in. We'll update it as we get it.

But all the reports that you see on the managers are net of fees. All right. Then you were discussing a moment ago on the next page of looking at the cause and distributions. We'll work with Walt to include that, even if it's a duplicate. So that's in his report as well.

In this case, we've netted all that out. The calls are in

the center distributions to the right,
and the net cash flow was \$2.9 million
in for the quarter.

CHAIR BRUSSELARS:

It would be helpful to also have the calls and distributions totaled. Is this Q --- is this 2024 or 2023?

MR. BOYER:

I think that is 2024.

It's just a typo.

CHAIR BRUSSELARS:

Okay.

MR. BOYER:

All right. Thank you.

And then some more

information on the next page that came in a little later on a couple of other funds. The other good news that came in later, not in time at all, to be included in this package is that North Haven has a private equity fund and they have distributed \$491,000 as a result of a deal that was sold and

completed. So that's positive.

1 And then Constitution 2 Capital also sold their fund to funds, 3 number three. And they did that, and 4 they received \$1.4 million plus as a 5 result of that sale. They chose to do 6 that for strategic reasons and seeing 7 the environment that they're facing 8 and some of the risks that they saw in 9 the portfolio, they thought it was a 10 good time to sell that portion of the 11 portfolio. So altogether --- yes. 12 CHAIR BRUSSELARS: 13 Let's hop back to the 14 prior page. 15 MR. BOYER: 16 Oh, it's on. Am I 17 not ---. 18 MS. LIPTAK: 19 So you have on the prior 20 ones with the management fees paid and 21 the interest. 22 MR. BOYER: 23 Yes. 24 MS. LIPTAK: 25 And my understanding is

on a third-grade level, carried interest is like a --- I don't say bonus, but like instead of pay to the manager for performance, right? So you add the two of them together and it really gives you the --- this is all we estimated of 2023.

me if I'm correct in my very
elementary explanation in carried
interest and why some managers would
structure to have more carrying
interest versus just flat fee or how
that structure happens? Some of them
get a little bit of both, right. And
some of the carried interest is higher
than the fee. So can you just explain
the two?

MR. BOYER:

I mean, one way, there are a lot of moving pieces, and they're following the guidelines laid out in their PPM, private placement memorandum. So in some cases, the management fees are a flat fee or a

percentage of assets. And over time, the assets, as they get sold off, the management fees come down. And as part of the PPM, they usually have some guidelines to say that they're not entitled to their carry interest until they either hit a certain benchmark in terms of return, or until a certain percentage of the capital has been returned to the investors. The criteria is laid out in the PPM.

Am I getting to the core of what you're asking, Jennifer?

MS. LIPTAK:

Yeah. I've never seen the layout of carried interest before and the reporting. We've only ever talked about management fees, not the carried interest in this specificity, which I appreciate, but I think, from a board member standpoint, I don't remember anything else that we pay.

CHAIR BRUSSELARS:

I was thinking about asking, and I'm glad you did.

41 1 MS. LIPTAK: 2 I'm sorry, I don't have 3 my mic on. I don't recall that ever 4 being brought up before. So over the 5 years, we talk about fees, there's 6 also a portion which is based upon 7 performance of the fund. 8 MR. BOYER: 9 Yes, and that's what the 10 carried interest is. 11 MS. LIPTAK: 12 So if they do better, 13 they're incentivized to do better 14 because their carried interest would 15 --- it's formulaic, I'm quessing. 16 MR. BOYER: 17 Right. Uh-huh. 18 MS. LIPTAK: 19 And that's set within 20 our contracts or is that set within a 21 standard with all types of 22 private ---. 23 MR. BOYER: 24 It's within the 25 contract.

	42
1	MS. LIPTAK:
2	It's in the contract.
3	0 k a y .
4	So I just wanted to get
5	perspective on that. So does everyone
6	have carried interest in the contract?
7	MR. BOYER:
8	Well, sometimes no,
9	because they didn't meet their
10	benchmark.
11	CHAIR BRUSSELARS:
12	Every contract would
13	have a provision for carried interest
14	is what Jennifer's trying to ask.
15	MR. BOYER:
16	Right.
17	MS. LIPTAK:
18	0 k a y .
19	CHAIR BRUSSELARS:
20	And some of them have
21	negative carried interest. Is that
22	like they did a bad job or what is
23	what would create a negative carried
24	interest?
25	MR. BOYER:

1 Yes. 2 CHAIR BRUSSELARS: 3 Oh, okay. But they 4 still get their management fee. 5 MR. BOYER: Well, I mean, but there 6 7 is a pure alignment of interest 8 these structures. You know, they're 9 living. Most of these managers are 10 hoping to get the carried interest 11 because oftentimes, the management 12 fees are not --- re barely enough to 13 really run the organization. So 14 that's what they're striving on. 15 That's what they're building a 16 reputation on, the carried interest. 17 Any other questions? 18 Okay. Yeah, anytime. I know expenses 19 are an important issue for you in 20 particular. 21 MS. LIPTAK: 22 I know I'm annoying 23 about it. 24 MR. BOYER: 25 I've never said that.

No. Yeah, we're on the same team.

2 And so, as I was just

3 saying that you had some other

1

7

8

11

4 inflows. In the month of April, North

5 Haven Equity and Constitution Capital,

6 the fund of funds, was sold. Total

\$1.9 million. Okay. Then the next

report is what we're going to focus on

9 here, are the names of the pet

10 | managers, the particular partnerships,

and the vintage years where it's

12 | highlighted in yellow. And it's

13 | highlighted in yellow to bring to your

14 attention. You have it, right?

Okay, great. So the

16 | yellow is there to help designate

17 | those funds that when we hired them,

18 and were not under any issue, I mean,

19 | weren't required to do that. We took

20 this initiative upon ourselves because

21 | it's the right thing to do. So these

22 are managers or funds that come under

23 the DEI core qualifications or

24 considerations, diversity, equity and

25 inclusion. And I think there's a

total of five or six that are part of your portfolio.

CHAIR BRUSSELARS:

I'm seeing four when I looked. Oh, yeah, five.

MR. BOYER:

So what's interesting,
when we started this, and just picking
on an example until the pandemic set
in and it slowed down all the
transactions and, you know
distributions --- you know, Accolade,
as an example, was ready and in the
market with an empowerment fund,
really focusing on women, and it's
done quite well.

The general partner, there's Joelle Kayden. She's done a great job. And we were all set to continue to add more DEI type of managers in there, but the pandemic really put a kibosh on that for the time being, but we'll continue to work in that direction.

All right.

1 You've asked

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

periodically, and I think we might
have shown it to you when we did our
Monday, where we did the executive
educational piece. So this next page
shows your calls through fourth
quarter, estimated calls through
fourth quarter of 24, and just

anticipated distributions.

So the combined numbers are on the next page. The cumulative numbers --- I think these numbers are what you saw previously. So it sort of looks like if you do the math there at the bottom, subtracting the 5.8 from the 18.2, you sort of get to \$12 or \$13 million. I would dial that back more like six to eight on a net inflow basis. Partly because we're seeing some transactions taking place, but the market is not completely thawed. In order to help these transactions continue, our general partners are trying to get deals done and they're trying to find the right

pricing, the right interest rate that it's going to take to do the deals.

But I would just caution that it's not going to be --- it's not likely to be \$18.2 million.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Let's see here. So this is --- you know, the next page really stale information going back to September 30th. That would have been reflected in our Monday educational session. You know, but no sooner do I say this about the distributions than, you know, I could have two emails next week or over the next couple of weeks and there'll be more transactions coming through because they're hearing from their investors who are expecting, demanding that they're all running a little bit behind on their distributions, and so they're eager to get some deals done.

Any other questions?

CHAIR BRUSSELARS:

Thank you. Yeah. Any

25 | questions? I don't think so.

MR. BOYER:

Okay, great. Thank you very much.

where it says DEI, these are information that the managers provided to us on the various characteristics of how they identify themselves to be DEI. And the first one is Accolade. I'm not going to go through every page, but just to give you an idea, it was started by Joelle Kayden, and you can see there 90 percent ownership by Joelle, and she has three other

partners at this time.

Other individuals in the firm benefit from the carried interest and they're maybe spelled out a little bit later on. Let's see here. They included their policy on diversity, equity and inclusion later on. That was rather unique. So Joelle's rather forward thinking in many respects. So she's been very responsive. Farrell is also very responsive. He's a

49 wonderful individual that we are 1 2 fortunate to find, and he's done 3 everything he can to diversify his 4 team over time and produce very strong 5 returns. So unless you really 6 7 want me to go through every page, I 8 think that gives you ---. 9 MR. PUZAK: 10 $N \circ .$ 11 MR. BOYER: 12 You already bored? But 13 you have the idea of what we're 14 tracking. And the firm might have 15 looked a little different when we 16 hired them initially, but this is a snapshot of where they are now. 17 18 CHAIR BRUSSELARS: Great. Thank you. 19 20 MR. BOYER: 21 You're welcome. 22 CHAIR BRUSSELARS: 23 I think that's it. 24 MR. BOYER:

Okay.

25

CHAIR BRUSSELARS:

Yeah. Thank you. Yeah.

Next up is Mr. Giovannelli from PFM

with our emerging manager women owned

portfolio.

MR. GIOVANNELLI:

Good afternoon. I just have a couple recommendations to go through with you. They are actually both terminations, recommendations for managers. The first one is CIM small cap asset management. Essentially, we've had them on watch going back to the beginning of 2023. Overall, when you look at their process from a one year, three year, five year number and inception, they're behind benchmark considerably in the three, five year.

And in the three and five year, they're actually --- excuse me, ranking out in the bottom quartile from a management standpoint in their peer bracket. So at this time, and one of the reasons behind this, too, is we needed to trim back on the

overall emerging manager program.

We're right up against the cap of the policy. Between five and seven percent is where we try to run this at

5 so we're right up to seven.

Our analytical team,
when we were getting together and
looking at and trying to decide which
managers we wanted to recommend
pulling back from, we took a deeper
look at these managers in the length
of time that we've had them on watch.

And with CIM, we felt that over the long term, they're not going to catch up. And essentially, their long term number is at 4.61 from inception to date. So it's well below that, 7.75. So overall, as a manager, it's not adding any value at this point. So we'd like to recommend terminating CIM small cap out of the emerging manager program.

CHAIR BRUSSELARS:

Thank you. I was going to ask if there's questions. Are

52 there questions? 1 2 MR. DICRISTOFARO: 3 Well, I have a question, 4 Perry. 5 CHAIR BRUSSELARS: Sure. Sorry, I'm new at 6 7 the beginning. Should I take a motion 8 before we have questions? Ted's going 9 to whisper something in my ear. I 10 don't know. I said, should we take a 11 12 motion before we start the discussion? 13 Questions? Go for it, Ted. I mean, 14 go for it, Frank. 15 MR. DICRISTOFARO: 16 I have a question, 17 Perry. 18 Why weren't we ever told 19 that these two managers were on a 20 watch list? I don't remember ever 21 being told that, ---22 MR. GIOVANNELLI: 23 Yeah. 24 MR. DICRISTOFARO: 25 --- we've ever done

business here before.

MR. GIOVANNELLI:

That may be on me from that standpoint. We take managers on and off watch on a regular basis, and I don't recall if I actually came in and said, hey, we have these guys on watch.

MS. DICRISTOFARO:

See, that's the problem

I'm having right now, approving

something like this at this moment,

because we've always been told this

ahead of time, and then we, as a

group, watch these things. That's why

I really want to do this.

And I've spoken with

Erica. This is not a surprise to her

already. She knows that I was going

to bring this up today because I just

found out about this yesterday. Well,

whenever we got the Board book,

whatever was reading this and we

talked about it, about bringing this

up, is doing this today. I wouldn't

mind holding off for just a couple months and saying, okay, give them three months. Say, okay, then we'll revisit it and say, we're going to see what they're out in three months, then do it. And then I have no problem eliminating managers.

And I've always been very, very vocal about that. If they're not performing, cut them. But I want to be told way ahead of time, not surprised over it. And we've said --- we've told our other consultants this before, too. Put them on a watch list, tell us about it ahead of time, not just spring it on us. That's pretty much all I want to say about this.

CHAIR BRUSSELARS:

Thank you, Frank.

Are there ---?

MS. LIPTAK:

I just want to mention, so from --- I respect Frank's position because normally, you know, when you

--- we put some on watch, there is a notice, and I think we've had that in the past, saying we have a couple of people that we'd like to put on watch because of performance.

Now, I can say that I, you know, looking at these, I had some of them on watch myself for some time based upon performance. And I think I vocalized that several times at the Board. But Frank does have a point about normally there is a notification in a period of time. It looks like that you structured it out and showed the performance compared to the indexes over a period of time. And I don't know if you do a rating scale I've seen --- yeah.

Is that where you are?

Yeah, I think. Is that what the

parentheses --- is it a rating scale?

MR. GIOVANNELLI:

Yeah. That's ---.

MS. LIPTAK:

That's watches from

other boards that I'm on is there's a

--- you know, you have a rating scale,
you put them in green, orange, red or
yellow, whatever.

determination.

MR. GIOVANNELLI:

So they're ranking out, for example, in the bottom quartile.

MR. LIPTAK:

Yeah.

MR. GIOVANNELLI:

And on the three-year

number, they're 96th percentile.

CHAIR BRUSSELARS:

Yeah. And I believe these have been in here for some time. So I respect and support your position here. I just, I don't want to be confrontational, but we probably should have known about that. But I was paying attention to these. But normally there would be a report in advance just to say we're putting these two on watch, and then we'll come back and then do final

Sargent's Court Reporting Service, Inc. (814) 536-8908

I just want to do for perspective.

CHAIR BRUSSELARS:

Yeah. And that's my question is, where is the watch list? Which meeting in Q1, was it put on the watch list? So if you could, I think it'd be helpful for us for you to explain what your and your firm's process is on watching these and communicating that to the managers and what your general expectations for yourself are in terms of communicating that to the Board.

MR. GIOVANNELLI:

We communicate with the managers when we have them on watch.

So they typically know that they're on watch from that performance standpoint. In the simplest terms, it's a ranking out process like we're showing you. But there's a lot more data that goes into a watch list. For example, if they had a key portfolio manager leave regardless of their

```
58
1
     performance, that would put them on
2
     watch. So there's times like that
3
     from that standpoint, and really from
4
     the standpoint of notifying you that
5
     we had them on watch, that's on me. I
6
     didn't do it at the meeting, and
7
     that's where we're at. If you guys
8
     want to say they're officially on
9
     watch for another 90 days, I just
10
     would tell you I don't see our
11
     recommendation changing in 90 days.
12
                    CHAIR BRUSSELARS:
13
                    What is the
14
     communication with your managers when
15
     they are on watch?
16
                    MR. GIOVANNELLI:
17
                    What do you mean?
18
                    CHAIR BRUSSELARS:
19
                    Do you call them and you
20
     say, this doesn't look so good.
21
     You're on our watch list?
22
                    MR. GIOVANNELLI:
23
                    Pretty much. Yes.
24
                    CHAIR BRUSSELARS:
25
                    Okay.
```

```
1
                    And so like, would ---
2
     both of these entities are well aware
3
     they've been on a watch list for all
4
     this time?
5
                    MR. GIOVANNELLI:
6
                    Yes.
7
                    CHAIR BRUSSELARS:
8
                    Okay.
9
                    MR. PUZAK:
10
                    Let me ask you a
11
     question. Do you think there's a
12
     chance that they can turn this around
13
     or you can --- this is definitive?
14
                    MR. GIOVANNELLI:
15
                    They're pretty far
16
     behind. Like if you look at CIM, as I
17
     said, you know, since inception,
18
     they're 261 basis points behind the
19
     benchmark. You know, and that's a,
20
     you know, over a decade. That's
21
     almost a decade. They came in, in
22
     2014. So we're right around a decade
23
     now.
24
                    You know, odds are ---
25
     you know, the one thing that could end
```

up happening, they could have a really good quarter. And then we're in front of you saying, well, do you want to give them another quarter? And that could go on for a while.

I mean, that's the only other downside to this, is it would take, I would say, probably six stellar quarters in a row to catch up.

MR. LIPTAK:

Hey, Erica, I do have another question. I recall you telling us about people on the watch list before. Am I wrong about that?

MR. GIOVANNELLI:

No. I probably did it.

I just, I know I didn't do it in the recent last six to nine months. I know I have not, like, reiterated, hey, they're on watch. But like I said, managers move on and off, watches on different --- you know, at different timeframes on a regular basis.

MS. LIPTAK:

Maybe that's something
to explore in the future. But I could
say that, you know, based upon the
information presented, you know, you
could go another month. It's going to
take a lot for these to come around,
so I don't feel uncomfortable. I just
want to bring it to a point that there
could be a much better way to present.

It's primarily with you, because you're bringing on people who are emerging. So those are the ones you're really trying to monitor to see if they're able to graduate into the main program.

Right?

MR. GIOVANNELLI:

Uh-huh. Yes.

MS. LIPTAK:

But based upon, you know, my viewing of these companies for some time and what you presented, I don't think that you're incorrect in your recommendation. I just think that there may be just a more

thoughtful process about the
notification of watch. That's all.

CHAIR BRUSSELARS:

I'd agree with that.

And I'd add that, I mean, if you ca

And I'd add that, I mean, if you can just send us monthly who's on watch, then we'd know who's on watch. Yeah. Or come report it at the meeting. And just that you were saying that things come on and off, so I think if we just got, like, a monthly list with why they're on watch, whether it's a manager change or a performance review.

Is that something that's a reasonable thing to provide?

MR. GIOVANNELLI:

Yeah, it's easy.

CHAIR BRUSSELARS:

And then I have another question. So I think we were --- is there a motion to request? Is there any further --- we haven't had --- we'll have a motion, then we'll have any more discussion.

1 Is there a motion to 2 approve the removal of progressive 3 financial advisors from the emerging 4 manager program? Let's do them 5 individually. Do you think we should? 6 MR. GIOVANNELLI: 7 I thought you'd do one 8 at a time. 9 CHAIR BRUSSELARS: 10 Let's do one at a time. Yeah. 11 12 MR. PUZAK: 13 What are we doing here? 14 CHAIR BRUSSELARS: 15 I'm wondering if anyone 16 has a motion to remove Fragrasso Financial Advisors from the emerging 17 18 manager program, effective 19 immediately? 20 MR. GIOVANNELLI: 21 Sarah, I actually ---22 Erica, I started with CIM. 23 CHAIR BRUSSELARS: 24 Oh, sorry. I'm looking 25 at the agenda, which is in a different

64 1 order. I understand the confusion. 2 Yes. 3 MS. LIPTAK: 4 I'll make that motion. 5 CHAIR BRUSSELARS: Is there a second? 6 7 MS. INNAMORATO: 8 I'll second. 9 CHAIR BRUSSELARS: 10 Is there any discussion. 11 Taking a vote, all those --- well, 12 hearing the motion and a second, no 13 discussion. We're discussing removing 14 CIM, investment management, from the 15 emerging managers program, effective 16 immediately. All those in favor, say 17 aye. 18 AYES RESPOND 19 CHAIR BRUSSELARS: 20 Any opposed? 21 NOES RESPOND 22 CHAIR BRUSSELARS:

Sargent's Court Reporting Service, Inc. (814) 536-8908

MR. PUZAK:

your vote? Opposed?

You voted? What was

23

24

25

1 I'm not going to vote. 2 I'm recusing myself. 3 CHAIR BRUSSELARS: 4 Why? Don't you need an 5 abstention reason? 6 MR. PUZAK: 7 $N \circ .$ 8 CHAIR BRUSSELARS: 9 Okay. 10 So is that five in favor 11 and one opposed and one abstention? 12 The motion passes. 13 Do I have a motion to remove Fragrasso Financial Advisors 14 15 from within the Allegheny County 16 Emerging Managers Program, effective 17 immediately? 18 MS. LIPTAK: 19 I make that motion based 20 upon, again, the recommendation of the 21 consultant. 22 MR. O'CONNOR: 23 Second. 24 CHAIR BRUSSELARS: 25 Hearing a motion and a

	66
1	second. Is there any discussion?
2	Hearing no discussion. All those in
3	favor of the removal of Fragasso
4	Financial Advisors from the Allegheny
5	County Emerging Managers Program,
6	effective immediately, please say aye.
7	AYES RESPOND
8	CHAIR BRUSSELARS:
9	Any opposed?
10	NOES RESPOND
11	CHAIR BRUSSELARS:
12	Any abstentions? And
13	the motion passes.
14	Oh, I had a question.
15	There are some other assets in the
16	are there any other entities on the
17	watch list?
18	MR. GIOVANNELLI:
19	On our watch list right
20	now? Ethos and Vesper.
21	CHAIR BRUSSELARS:
22	0 k a y .
23	And I noticed Mstone had
24	below benchmark return over one, three
25	in five years. Is that?

67 1 MR. GIOVANNELLI: 2 They're not on the watch 3 list yet. I'll double back with my 4 analysts, but I don't believe they're 5 on there. 6 CHAIR BRUSSELARS: 7 And so why are Ethos and 8 Vesper on the watch list, and how long 9 have they been on the watch list? 10 MR. GIOVANNELLI: 11 Ethos just went on. And 12 so did Vesper, actually. Just go on 13 this quarter. 14 First quarter, yeah, 15 basically January. So follow up, so 16 there'll be a period of time of 17 monitoring before any recommendations? 18 One, four. 19 CHAIR BRUSSELARS: 20 Yeah, typical. 21 MR. GIOVANNELLI: 22 When you first go on a 23 watch list, that there's some, you 24 know, there's much more longer term to

it, you know. I apologize. That's on

25

me, that I didn't bring it up in the last six to nine months, you know, on that end, but ---.

CHAIR BRUSSELARS:

Well, and I ---.

MR. GIOVANNELLI:

But as I said, they've been on for a considerable amount of time.

CHAIR BRUSSELARS:

Yeah. I just want to

--- like, I had a slight concern, just

from the way you phrased it, that

since --- since the emerging managers

was towards the top of their range, we

were pull --- we were looking hard.

It felt like these were getting moved

now because were towards the top of

the range.

MR. GIOVANNELLI:

No, it was --- it was a reality of, we were going to come in and say, take 4 million from this manager, and take 4 million from this manager. And then we looked and we're

```
like, you know, these two managers are going to be removed in short order.

We're going to be making that recommendation anyway. So at that point, it just made sense to make it now.

CHAIR BRUSSELARS:
```

Okay. Thank you.

Are there any other

questions for Perry?

MR. PUZAK:

See, it's my position.

It makes a lot of people uncomfortable by doing this process, because, you know, we should have been informed.

Frankie's right, a hundred percent.

And at least we would have had time.

But I also believe that I don't like to procrastinate, and I don't like to go against, you know, the consultants in regards to what's going on.

So I'm not sure how much by extending it would have made any difference. But on the other hand, I believe there was a process that we

didn't go through, you know, for these
managers as far as that. Now, do you
call them up on the phone or are they
aware of this?

MR. GIOVANNELLI:

I've already talked to the one manager. I still have to follow up with the other.

MR. PUZAK:

10 Okay.

5

6

7

8

9

15

16

21

22

23

24

25

So you got one more to go to talk to.

MR. GIOVANNELLI:

14 Right.

MR. PUZAK:

Now, how do --- what do you do as far as terminate their --- take their funds and allocate it in some other place?

MR. GIOVANNELLI:

Is that correct? Yeah.

Well, this money will go back into the main plan because I knew overall we were reducing our ---.

MR. PUZAK:

71 1 All right. 2 So who else will be 3 responsible for that money? 4 MR. GIOVANNELLI: 5 It'll probably fall into 6 your cash, I would assume, first, 7 before it goes anywhere else. 8 CHAIR BRUSSELARS: 9 Right. 10 MR. BROKAW: 11 Right. So it's a total 12 of about \$10 million, I believe. 13 MR. GIOVANNELLI: 14 Just over. 15 MR. BROKAW: 16 Yeah. 17 MR. PUZAK: 18 For both companies or 19 just one? 20 MR. BROKAW: 21 Yeah, it's both. 22 MR. GIOVANNELLI: 23 For both companies, it 24 totals up to be almost \$11 million. 25 It's like \$10.8 million.

72 1 MR. PUZAK: 2 \$11 million. 3 MR. GIOVANNELLI: 4 Yeah. 5 MR. BROKAW: Yeah. And with the 6 7 monthly cash requirement of somewhere 8 in between \$5 and \$7 million, that can 9 go into cash and be used for the cash 10 flow of the plan. 11 MR. PUZAK: 12 So that's my position as 13 far as, you know, me recusing myself 14 or abstaining or whatever. 15 Erica, did I give you 16 answer? 17 CHAIR BRUSSELARS: 18 You have different 19 opinions than I do about public board 20 abstention reasons. No, I understand. 21 Like, I don't like this situation, 22 either, of this being the first time 23 we're seeing it in print. But I also 24 --- and Frank and I talked about this 25 a little bit. I agree with you. Once

```
1
     we know, once we also gain the
2
     understanding today that we didn't
3
     necessarily have before, that the
4
     managers have been communicated with
5
     previously. I think on behalf of the
     taxpayers and employees, retirees in
6
7
     this plan, we owe it to them to do
8
     what we think is the best thing, which
9
     is to do it now.
10
                    Also, Perry, then I'll
11
     let you go. I think it also would
12
     have been helpful if, on the summary,
13
     you'd include the asset values.
14
                    MR. GIOVANNELLI:
15
                    Okay.
16
                    CHAIR BRUSSELARS:
17
                    Go ahead.
18
                    MR. PUZAK:
19
                    No, I know this process
20
     is not easy. It's difficult for
21
     everybody, but it happened and it's
22
     the way it goes.
23
                    MR. GIOVANNELLI:
24
                    All right, thank you.
25
                    CHAIR BRUSSELARS:
```

Thank you. Next on the agenda is new business, an update from the RFP committee.

MS. ROKA:

The committee met earlier this month and drafted the RFP, and it's included in the Board materials.

CHAIR BRUSSELARS:

Thank you. Thank you.

Yeah, we have a drafted RFP, and I would just --- yeah. So folks --- it's available for folks to review. Are there any other questions around the RFP? RFP committee?

The next item is an update on retirement board bylaws.

Brian drafted retirement board bylaws.

They're included in the packet. I hadn't taken a first pass with them at all before they were included. If folks could read through them before next month, I think we could have a --- I'd like to have a discussion at next month's meeting if we'd like to

```
review and discuss them in the whole,
1
2
     or if we'd like to do that on a
3
     committee level. So if you could
4
     think about that as you're taking a
5
     look at them.
6
                        Are there any other
7
     items? I'll entertain a motion to
8
     adjourn.
9
                    MR. PUZAK:
10
                    Make that motion.
11
                    CHAIR BRUSSELARS:
12
                    All in favor?
13
     AYES RESPOND
14
                    CHAIR BRUSSELARS:
15
                     We're already gone.
16
     Bye. Meeting's adjourned.
                  * * * * * * *
17
18
          MEETING CONCLUDED AT 1:10 P.M.
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify, as the stenographic reporter, that the foregoing proceedings were taken stenographically by me, and thereafter reduced to typewriting by me or under my direction; and that this transcript is a true and accurate record to the best of my ability. Dated the 8th day of May, 2024

Chelsea Curry

Court Reporter